People's Democratic Republic of Algeria

Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research

Ziane Achour University of Djelfa

Faculty of Letters, Foreign Languages and Arts

Department of English

Master 1 of Didactics

First Term

Course Handout On:

Ethics and Deontology

Elaborated and accomplished by: Dr. Ouafa OUARNIKI

Academic Year

2025-2026

1.Course Information

Master One: Didactics

Semester: 1

Teaching Unit (UE): Transversales

Course Title: Ethics and Deontology

Course Schedule: One session per week (1 hour 30 minutes).

Credits: 1 **Coefficient:** 1

Instructor: Dr. Ouafa OUARNIKI

Academic Year: 2025–2026

Teaching Mode: Hybrid (Onsite and Online Sessions)

2. Introduction to the Course

In the contemporary academic world, where knowledge is constantly created, shared, and reinterpreted, ethics and deontology stand as the essential pillars of responsible scholarship. This hybrid module, which combines onsite seminars and online sessions, is designed to introduce Master One students in Didactics to the fundamental ethical principles that guide research, teaching, and professional conduct in higher education.

The course seeks to cultivate a deep understanding of academic integrity, moral responsibility, and intellectual honesty. Throughout the semester, students will explore how ethical principles inform researchers' decisions, influence their credibility, and sustain the trustworthiness of

scientific inquiry. Moreover, in light of the growing integration of digital tools and Artificial Intelligence (AI) into education and research, the module will also address the ethical challenges that accompany technological innovation. Students will therefore reflect critically on how AI can enhance learning, assist in writing, and streamline research processes, while simultaneously considering its potential to blur boundaries of authorship and originality.

Through discussions, debates, and reflective case analyses conducted both face-to-face and online, students will be encouraged to develop ethical awareness and moral discernment. Ultimately, the course aims to help them evolve not only as competent researchers but also as ethical thinkers and practitioners who can uphold honesty, fairness, and transparency in every aspect of their academic journey.

3. Course Objectives

This course integrates theoretical knowledge with practical application, aiming to develop students' capacity to think ethically, act responsibly, and apply deontological principles in their academic work.

The **general objective** of the course is to enable students to apply and uphold ethical and deontological standards in academic research, professional interaction, and the responsible use of digital technologies.

More specifically, by the end of the semester, students will be able to:

1. **Define and distinguish** the key concepts of ethics, morality, and deontology, demonstrating a clear understanding of their philosophical foundations and practical implications.

2. **Analyze and interpret** moral and ethical dilemmas encountered in research, teaching, and professional practice, using appropriate reasoning frameworks to reach informed and balanced judgments.
3. **Apply** both institutional and international ethical codes such as the Algerian Higher Education Ethics Charter, UNESCO, and COPE guidelines to academic and professional contexts in a reflective and responsible manner.
4. **Identify and evaluate** the root causes of plagiarism and other academic misconduct, and develop effective preventive strategies through accurate citation, paraphrasing, and consistent use of referencing systems, particularly the APA 7th edition style.
5. **Critically assess** the ethical implications of Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in research and writing, ensuring transparency, respect for intellectual ownership, and accountability in all academic productions.
6. **Demonstrate** fairness, responsibility, and professional integrity in their scholarly practices, online interactions, and collaborative research activities, both in onsite and digital learning environments.
7. **Promote** an ethical academic culture through individual conduct, participation in collective initiatives, and the dissemination of best practices that reinforce honesty, trust, and respect across the university community.

4. Course Description

Ethics and Deontology in Research and Academic Practice introduces students to the conceptual and philosophical foundations of ethical reasoning, linking them to the researcher's professional duties. The module begins with a discussion of the nature of ethics, morality, and deontology, before exploring how these concepts translate into concrete research practices.

Throughout the course, students will examine major themes such as academic freedom, the pursuit of truth, professional responsibility, and research integrity. They will also engage with applied issues including plagiarism, authorship disputes, data transparency, and informed consent. A central component of the course is devoted to the ethical use of Artificial Intelligence in academia. Here, students will be invited to reflect on the implications of AI-generated

content, assess its academic legitimacy, and propose appropriate ways to acknowledge and regulate its use in educational and research contexts.

Rather than presenting ethics as a fixed set of rules, this course emphasizes that ethical behavior is a process of ongoing reflection, critical analysis, and moral decision-making. By the end of the semester, students will have acquired both conceptual understanding and practical tools to act as reflective, responsible, and ethical members of the academic community.

5. Course Structure

Duration: 14 weeks
Sessions per Week: 2 (1 lecture and 1 workshop)

Progression:
Weeks 1–4: Foundations of Ethics and Moral Philosophy
Weeks 5–8: Academic Integrity, Plagiarism, and Digital Ethics
Weeks 9–11: Professional Deontology and Research Responsibility
Weeks 12–14: AI, Ethical Decision-Making, and Integrity Promotion

This hybrid course combines onsite lectures with online interactive sessions through institutional digital platforms. Each week integrates conceptual learning, applied reflection, and collaborative discussions to ensure a balanced understanding of ethical theory and practice.

6. Course Content

Week	Topic	Objectives	Activities	Assessment / Homework
1	**Introduction to Ethics and Deontology**	Define and differentiate the concepts of ethics, morality, and deontology; explain their relevance and application in academic and research practices.	Mini-lecture, class discussion, online reflection forum.	Reflective paragraph: *"Why does ethics matter in research?"*
2	**Ethical and Philosophical Foundations**	Identify and compare the main ethical theories (deontology, utilitarianism, virtue ethics); interpret their implications for educational and research contexts.	Interactive lecture, reading synthesis, group analysis.	Short written synthesis of ethical theories.
3	**Academic Freedom and Responsibility**	Analyze the relationship between academic autonomy and social accountability; evaluate real scenarios in higher education ethics.	Case study discussion, online debate.	Short essay: *"Can academic freedom exist without responsibility?"*
4	**Research Integrity and Scientific Truth**	Examine and apply the principles of honesty, accuracy, and transparency; assess examples of scientific misconduct.	Case study review, role-play scenario.	Analytical report on a misconduct case.

5	**Understanding Plagiarism**	Define the different forms of plagiarism; identify their causes; and evaluate their ethical and academic implications.	Guided workshop on paraphrasing and referencing.	Correct a flawed paragraph containing plagiarism.
6	**Preventing Academic Misconduct**	Apply referencing systems accurately; utilize plagiarism detection tools ethically and responsibly.	Practical citation exercises, use of plagiarism software.	Referencing accuracy check (APA 7th edition).
7	**Artificial Intelligence in Academic Work**	Evaluate the opportunities and challenges of AI tools in research; formulate ethical guidelines for responsible use.	Online panel discussion, AI tool demonstration.	Reflection essay: *"Is AI assistance compatible with academic ethics?"*
8	**Digital Ethics and Data Management**	Describe and apply the principles of data protection, privacy, and intellectual property; design ethical data management practices.	Workshop on data ethics, creation of a digital charter.	Design a short *"Digital Ethics Charter."*
9	**Ethics in Human and Social Research**	Explain and apply ethical principles of informed consent, confidentiality, and respect for participants.	Simulation exercise, analysis of consent forms.	Ethics report on confidentiality and informed consent.
10	**Professional Deontology in Academia**	Identify the moral duties and responsibilities of educators and researchers; reflect on the meaning of professional integrity.	Discussion of national codes of conduct, online reflection.	Mini-report: *"The Ethical Educator."*

11	**National and International Ethics Frameworks**	Examine and interpret the Algerian Ethics Charter, COPE guidelines, and UNESCO recommendations; relate them to local practice.	Reading discussion, group presentation.	Presentation on an institutional ethics framework.
12	**Ethical Decision-Making and Dilemmas**	Analyze complex ethical dilemmas; apply systematic ethical reasoning to propose rational and defensible solutions.	Problem-solving workshop, moral reasoning simulation.	Written case resolution.
13	**Promoting Academic Integrity**	Develop institutional and personal strategies to prevent misconduct; advocate for a culture of ethical awareness.	Group brainstorming, integrity policy drafting.	Integrity promotion proposal.
14	**Review and Self-Reflection**	Synthesize the main concepts covered throughout the course; evaluate personal ethical growth and professional readiness.	Online reflection, interactive review quiz.	Final written exam.

7. Course Materials

Students will have access to printed and digital resources to support both onsite and online learning. A range of core readings, supplementary texts, and institutional documents will be provided through the university's Moodle platform. These materials are intended to strengthen conceptual understanding and facilitate independent reflection on ethical issues.

Core Texts:

Doucet, H. (2010). *From Research Ethics to Ethics in Research.* Éthique publique, 12(1).

Legault, G. A. (2003). *Professionnalisme et délibération éthique.* Québec: Presses de l'Université du Québec.

Morency, M.-A., & Simard, J. (2004). *Aux sources de la déontologie québécoise. Organisations et Territoires*, 63–70.

Racine, L., Legault, G. A., & Bégin, L. (1991). *Éthique et ingénierie.* Montréal: McGraw-Hill.

Siroux, D. (2004). *Déontologie.* In M. Canto-Sperber (Ed.), *Dictionnaire d'éthique et de philosophie morale.* Paris: Quadrige.

Supplementary References:

American Psychological Association. (2020). *Publication Manual of the APA* (7th ed.).

Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). (2019). *Guidelines on Research Integrity.*

UNESCO. (2017). *Recommendation on Science and Scientific Researchers.*

Algerian Ministry of Higher Education. (2019). *National Charter on University Ethics and Deontology.*

Floridi, L. (2023). *The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence.* Oxford University Press.

Boddington, P. (2021). *AI Ethics: Key Concepts and Debates.* Springer.

Digital Resources: Moodle platform for readings, updates, and submission of online tasks.

Workshop Tools: Case studies, ethics codes, digital plagiarism checkers, and AI tool demonstrations.

8. Course Assessment

The course evaluation will rely entirely on a final written exam (100%), designed to assess students' understanding and application of ethical principles in academic and research contexts. Through this assessment, students are expected to demonstrate clarity of ethical reasoning, coherence of argumentation, and integrity in decision-making. Consequently, this approach ensures that learners are evaluated not only on their theoretical knowledge but also on their ability to apply ethical judgment responsibly and effectively in real-world scenarios.

9. Learning Outcomes

Upon completion of this course, students will be able to:

1. Explain the fundamental principles of ethics and deontology in academic and research contexts.
2. Apply ethical frameworks and professional codes to real-world academic and research situations.
3. Identify and analyze ethical dilemmas that arise in research, teaching, and professional practice.
4. Make informed and responsible decisions consistent with institutional, national, and international ethical standards.
5. Recognize and prevent unethical behaviors such as plagiarism, falsification, and data manipulation.
6. Use Artificial Intelligence (AI) and other digital tools responsibly, ensuring transparency and respect for authorship.
7. Promote academic integrity, honesty, and accountability within scholarly and institutional communities.

10. Expected Competencies

At the end of this hybrid module, students will be able to:

1. Demonstrate the ability to make ethical and evidence-based judgments in research and professional settings.

2. Produce original, well-structured, and properly referenced academic work that adheres to integrity standards.
3. Integrate AI tools transparently and ethically into research and academic writing.
4. Exhibit professionalism, fairness, and respect in both onsite and online collaboration.
5. Apply principles of ethical leadership to foster a positive and responsible academic culture.
6. Contribute actively to promoting ethical awareness and deontological values within higher education institutions.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Form
AI	Artificial Intelligence
APA	American Psychological Association
COPE	Committee on Publication Ethics
HE	Higher Education
LMD	Licence–Master–Doctorat
MHE	Ministry of Higher Education
RCR	Responsible for Conduct of Research
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
OER	Open Educational Resources
E&D	Ethics and Deontology

University Ziane Achour, Djelfa

Faculty of Letters and Languages

English Department

Master one of Didactics

Ethics and Deontology

Course Instructor: Dr. Ouafa Ouarniki **E-mail:ouafa.ouarniki@univ-djelfa.dz**

Lecture 1: Introduction to Ethics and Deontology

Learning Objectives

By the end of this lecture, students will be able to:

1. Define the concepts of *ethics*, *morality*, and *deontology* based on scholarly definitions.
2. Distinguish between ethical, moral, and legal behavior in academic and research contexts.
3. Explain the importance of ethics and deontology in ensuring credibility and trust in higher education.
4. Reflect critically on their individual responsibilities as students, teachers, and researchers.

1. Introduction

Every educational journey involves not only acquiring and transmitting knowledge but also understanding the moral responsibility that accompanies it. Ethics and deontology form the backbone of credible academic work because they ensure that research and teaching are guided by respect, honesty, and fairness.

As Resnik (2020) emphasizes, ethics provides "a set of principles for appropriate conduct in research and scholarship" (p. 5), allowing the academic community to maintain integrity and trust. In today's world of expanding digital access and artificial intelligence, ethical awareness has become a central requirement for anyone engaged in higher education. The boundaries between original thinking and assisted production are increasingly blurred, which makes the understanding of ethical and deontological principles indispensable for future scholars.

This first lecture introduces these foundational concepts, showing how they interact to shape the responsible behavior expected of researchers and educators.

2. Understanding Ethics, Morality, and Deontology

2.1 Ethics

The term *ethics* derives from the Greek word *ethos*, meaning "character" or "custom." According to Beauchamp and Childress (2019), ethics refers to "systematic reflection on human conduct in light of moral values" (p. 2). In the academic sphere, ethics is therefore the framework that helps individuals determine what is right and wrong when producing, interpreting, or sharing knowledge.

Ethics does not simply mean obeying rules; rather, it requires the ability to reason morally and act with integrity even in the absence of supervision. As MacIntyre (2007) observes, ethical behavior emerges when individuals cultivate virtues such as honesty, courage, and justice — values that support the collective pursuit of truth.

In essence, ethics in research and teaching promote trust. It builds the foundation upon which scientific dialogue, collaboration, and innovation can thrive.

2.2 Morality

The word *morality* originates from the Latin *moralitas*, which refers to customs or manners. Morality is the set of social values and norms that regulate acceptable behavior within a given culture. According to Frankena (1973), morality "consists of the actual standards of right and wrong adopted by a society," whereas ethics is "the philosophical study of morality" (p. 4).

In other words, morality provides the content, the "what" of right behavior , while ethics provides the method, the "why" behind it. For example, avoiding plagiarism is a moral rule widely accepted in academia; ethics, however, examine why plagiarism violates respect, fairness, and intellectual ownership.

2.3 Deontology

The concept of *deontology* was first introduced by the philosopher Immanuel Kant (1785/1993) in his *Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals*. Derived from the Greek word *deon* ("duty"), deontology focuses on actions that are morally required, regardless of their outcomes. Kant believed that ethical behavior is guided by duty and universal moral law, summarized in his principle: "Act only according to that maxim whereby you can at the same time will that it should become a universal law."

Applied to the academic world, deontology refers to the professional duties and responsibilities of teachers, researchers, and students. As Legault (2003) explains, deontology establishes the codes of conduct that sustain professional credibility and accountability. In practical terms, a researcher's deontological duty is to respect the truth, acknowledge sources, protect research participants, and avoid any form of misconduct.

Hence, while ethics seeks to answer *why we should act rightly*, deontology tells us *how we must behave* according to institutional and professional standards.

3. The Role of Ethics and Deontology in Academia

3.1 Ethics as the Foundation of Academic Integrity

Ethics lies at the heart of what is known as academic integrity, a concept defined by Fishman (2014) as "the commitment to six fundamental values: honesty, trust, fairness, respect, responsibility, and courage" (p. 16). Ethical research requires that data, findings, and citations be treated with transparency and honesty.

In higher education, the absence of ethical principles leads to academic misconduct, which may include plagiarism, falsification of data, or misrepresentation of information. According to Steneck (2006), such misconduct "damages public confidence in science and undermines the value of academic institutions" (p. 55). Therefore, ethics safeguards both the credibility of researchers and the legitimacy of the knowledge they produce.

3.2 Deontology as a Framework for Professional Conduct

If ethics establishes principles, deontology transforms them into professional behavior. In Algeria, the *National Charter on University Ethics and Deontology* (MESRS, 2019) defines the obligations of teachers and researchers, including respect for confidentiality, objectivity in evaluation, and equal treatment of students.

Deontological rules act as a social contract within the university. They ensure that professional relationships remain grounded in fairness and that knowledge is shared responsibly. As Doucet (2010) reminds us, research is not only an intellectual activity but also a moral practice — a space where scientific curiosity meets ethical responsibility.

4. Ethics, Deontology, and the Researcher's Identity

The identity of the researcher is shaped not only by expertise but also by moral awareness. Ethical research involves both *knowing* and *being* knowing how to conduct rigorous inquiry and being a person of integrity. Resnik (2020) stresses that the responsible researcher "seeks truth, avoids harm, and respects the dignity and autonomy of others" (p. 7).

Therefore, academic ethics is not a separate subject but a way of being in the world of ideas. It demands humility, honesty, and commitment to the collective good. Whether students are writing a dissertation, collecting data, or collaborating on projects, ethical behavior ensures that the learning process remains authentic and meaningful.

5. Common Ethical Challenges in Academic Life

Students often face moral challenges, sometimes without realizing their ethical implications. Among the most common are:

Plagiarism: Using another's work without acknowledgment (Park, 2003).

Fabrication and falsification: Inventing or manipulating research data (Steneck, 2006).

Collusion: Working with others dishonestly on assignments meant to be individual.

Improper use of AI tools: Presenting AI-generated text as personal work, which blurs authorship and misrepresents originality.

These issues typically arise from misunderstanding, time pressure, or lack of awareness rather than intentional misconduct. Nonetheless, they compromise academic honesty. Thus, the first ethical task of a student is to become conscious of such risks and seek guidance on avoiding them.

6. Workshop: "Defining My Ethical Identity"

Task

-Write a short personal reflection (250–300 words) responding to the following prompts:

1. What does be an ethical student or researcher mean to you?
2. Describe one situation in which you were tempted to choose convenience over honesty.
3. What principles would you now apply to make a more ethical decision?

After individual reflection, discuss your responses in small groups. Identify three shared values that define your collective ethical identity as Master students and future educators.

Expected Outcome

Students will recognize that ethics is both personal and collective, developing not from fear of punishment but from conviction and self-respect.

7. Summary

Ethics and deontology together form the moral and professional core of academic life. Ethics helps us think about why an action is right or wrong, while deontology defines how we should act within professional boundaries. In research and teaching, integrity is the foundation of trust, and without trust, no genuine knowledge can emerge.

As Beauchamp and Childress (2019) argue, ethical behavior in research does not merely prevent harm, it promotes the flourishing of truth and respect. Therefore, every student, teacher, and researcher bears responsibility for upholding the principles that sustain the university as a moral community.

8. Glossary of Key Terms

Term	Definition
Ethics	The philosophical study of moral values guiding human conduct (Beauchamp & Childress, 2019).

Morality	The set of norms and customs that define acceptable behavior within a community (Frankena, 1973).
Deontology	The study of duties and professional obligations based on moral duty (Kant, 1785/1993).
Integrity	Adherence to moral and ethical principles; the foundation of academic honesty (Fishman, 2014).
Academic Misconduct	Any behavior that violates academic integrity, including plagiarism, falsification, or collusion (Steneck, 2006).
Research Ethics	The principles that guide responsible research involving truth, respect, and fairness (Resnik, 2020).

References

- Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2019). *Principles of biomedical ethics* (8th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Doucet, H. (2010). *From research ethics to ethics in research*. *Éthique publique*, 12(1), 1–14.
- Fishman, T. (2014). *The fundamental values of academic integrity*. International Center for Academic Integrity.
- Frankena, W. K. (1973). *Ethics*. Prentice-Hall.
- Kant, I. (1993). *Groundwork of the metaphysics of morals* (J. W. Ellington, Trans.). Hackett. (Original work published 1785)
- Legault, G. A. (2003). *Professionnalisme et délibération éthique*. Presses de l'Université du Québec.
- MacIntyre, A. (2007). *After virtue: A study in moral theory* (3rd ed.). University of Notre Dame Press.
- MESRS. (2019). *National charter on university ethics and deontology*. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Algeria.
- Park, C. (2003). In other (people's) words: Plagiarism by university students—literature and lessons. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 28*(5), 471–488.
- Resnik, D. B. (2020). *Ethics of research with human subjects: Protecting people, advancing science, promoting trust*. Springer.

University Ziane Achour, Djelfa

Faculty of Letters and Languages

English Department

Master one of Didactics

Ethics and Deontology

Course Instructor: Dr. Ouafa Ouarniki **E-mail:ouafa.ouarniki@univ-djelfa.dz**

Lecture 2: Ethical and Philosophical Foundations:

Theories and Principles of Moral Action

Learning Objectives

By the end of this lecture, students will be able to:

1. **Identify** the major ethical theories that have shaped moral reasoning.
2. **Explain** the principles of deontological, utilitarian, and virtue ethics and their application in academic and research contexts.
3. **Compare** the moral reasoning frameworks underlying ethical decision-making.
4. **Apply** these theories to real-life academic dilemmas such as plagiarism, authorship, and the responsible use of AI.
5. **Reflect** on the relationship between moral philosophy and professional deontology.

1. Introduction

Understanding ethics requires going beyond rules to examine the philosophical foundations that explain *why* certain actions are considered right or wrong. Ethical theories serve as frameworks for moral reasoning; they guide individuals in resolving dilemmas by appealing to rational principles rather than personal opinion.

As Beauchamp and Childress (2019) remind us, moral theories are not abstract academic constructs; they provide "systematic ways of thinking about conduct" that help people decide what should be done (p. 2). In academia, where complex decisions often involve truth, fairness, and accountability, familiarity with moral philosophy enables educators and researchers to act with integrity rather than convenience.

This lecture explores three influential ethical approaches: Deontological Ethics, Utilitarian Ethics, and Virtue Ethics. Each offers a distinct perspective on what constitutes right action and how moral responsibility should be exercised in professional and academic life.

2. Deontological Ethics: Acting from Duty

2.1 The Kantian Perspective

Deontological ethics is most famously associated with Immanuel Kant, whose *Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals* (1785/1993) established the idea that morality is based on duty rather than consequence. For Kant, an action is morally right if it is performed out of respect for a moral law that could be universalized, a principle he called the Categorical Imperative.

Kant's central rule states: *"Act only according to that maxim whereby you can at the same time will that it should become a universal law*" (Kant, 1785/1993, p. 30).

This means that before acting, individuals should ask: *Would it be acceptable if everyone acted the same way?*

2.2 Application in Academia

Applied to academic practice, Kant's ethics teaches that honesty, respect, and truthfulness are duties owed to humanity, not strategic choices. For example:

- A student avoids plagiarism not because of fear of punishment, but because honesty is a moral duty that should apply universally.
- A teacher grades fairly because justice is a moral principle, not a preference.Thus, in a Kantian view, integrity in research is not optional — it is categorically required.

2.3 Critique and Relevance

Kant's ethics has been critiqued for being too rigid and rule-bound (O'Neill, 2013). Yet, in academic life, such strictness is precisely what sustains trust. Researchers must often choose between personal gain and professional integrity; deontological reasoning reminds them that duty must come first.

3. Utilitarian Ethics : Acting for the Greatest Good

3.1 The Bentham–Mill Tradition

In contrast to Kant's duty-based ethics, Utilitarianism evaluates the morality of actions by their consequences. Founded by Jeremy Bentham (1789/1996) and expanded by John Stuart Mill (1863/1998), utilitarianism holds that actions are right if they produce *"the greatest happiness for the greatest number."*

Bentham introduced the *principle of utility*, arguing that moral value is determined by the balance of pleasure over pain resulting from an act. Mill refined this idea, distinguishing higher pleasures (intellectual, moral) from lower pleasures (physical or immediate).

3.2 Application in Academia and Research

In research, utilitarian reasoning asks whether an action contributes to the overall well-being of society. For instance:

- Publishing research that benefits education or health aligns with utilitarian goals.
- Misrepresenting data for personal advancement is unethical because it harms collective trust.
- Using AI tools can be ethical if they enhance learning outcomes without diminishing originality or fairness.

3.3 Critique and Relevance

Critics argue that utilitarianism can justify morally questionable acts if they produce favorable outcomes (Singer, 2011). However, in research ethics, this framework encourages scholars to consider social responsibility and the broader impact of knowledge, reminding them that the ultimate goal of research is human progress.

4. Virtue Ethics: Cultivating Moral Character

4.1 The Aristotelian Perspective

Virtue ethics, rooted in the philosophy of Aristotle (c. 350 BCE/2009), focuses on the moral character of the individual rather than specific actions or outcomes. According to Aristotle's *Nicomachean Ethics*, the aim of human life is eudaimonia — flourishing or living well — achieved through the development of virtues such as courage, honesty, and temperance.

Unlike deontology or utilitarianism, virtue ethics emphasize moral education and personal growth. Ethical individuals are not merely rule-followers; they are people who habitually act rightly because virtue has become part of their character.

4.2 Application in the Academic Context

In the classroom or laboratory, virtue ethics reminds educators and researchers to model moral behavior. A virtuous teacher demonstrates patience, fairness, and empathy. A virtuous researcher is committed to intellectual humility and curiosity. As MacIntyre (2007) argues, moral virtues sustain "the practices through which goods internal to those practices are achieved" (p. 194). Thus, academic integrity depends not only on regulations but also on the personal character of those who uphold them.

4.3 Contemporary Relevance

Virtue ethics is particularly relevant in today's digital age, where students and researchers face temptations such as plagiarism and overreliance on AI. The virtuous academic resists unethical shortcuts not out of fear but out of a genuine commitment to excellence and honesty.

5. Integrating Ethical Theories in Research and Teaching

Each ethical framework offers valuable guidance for academic life:

Ethical Theory	Moral Focus	Practical Application in Academia
Deontology (Kant)	Duty, rules, and moral law	Acting honestly regardless of outcome (e.g., avoiding plagiarism).
Utilitarianism (Bentham, Mill)	Consequences and social benefit	Conducting research that maximizes educational or societal good.
Virtue Ethics (Aristotle)	Character and moral development	Cultivating virtues like honesty, humility, and diligence in learning and teaching.

In reality, educators and researchers often combine these approaches. Ethical decision-making in academia may start from duty (deontology), consider impact (utilitarianism), and express virtue (character).

For instance, deciding whether to use AI to generate text involves:

- **Duty:** Being truthful about sources (deontology).
- **Impact:** Considering whether it helps or harms learning (utilitarianism).
- **Character:** Acting honestly and responsibly (virtue ethics).

6. Workshop: "Applying Moral Theories to Academic Dilemmas"

Task

Students are divided into three groups. Each group will receive a short ethical dilemma (examples below) and analyze it using one moral theory.

- **Scenario A (Deontology):**
  A student copies several sentences from a website without citation. There is no detection tool used, and no one notices. Should the student confess? Why or why not?

- **Scenario B (Utilitarianism):**
  A teacher adjusts grades slightly to motivate struggling students, arguing it will benefit their confidence and learning. Is this ethical?
- **Scenario C (Virtue Ethics):**
  A researcher faces time pressure and is tempted to publish incomplete results. What would a virtuous scholar do?

Expected Outcome

Students will recognize that moral theories offer different but complementary perspectives on ethical decision-making.

7. Summary

Ethical theories are the intellectual foundations of professional integrity. Deontological ethics teaches us to act from duty; utilitarian ethics reminds us to consider consequences; and virtue ethics encourages us to cultivate good character. Together, these perspectives help students and researchers make thoughtful, principled decisions in a complex world.

As Beauchamp and Childress (2019) conclude, the integration of these moral perspectives creates *"a balanced approach to moral reasoning that unites principle, outcome, and character"* (p. 13).

By understanding these traditions, students can navigate modern challenges, from plagiarism to AI ethics , with confidence and moral clarity.

8. Glossary of Key Terms

Term	Definition
Deontology	The ethical theory asserting that morality is based on duty and universal principles (Kant, 1785/1993).
Categorical Imperative	Kant's principle that actions are morally right if they can be universalized.
Utilitarianism	The view that moral value depends on the consequences of actions for overall happiness (Mill, 1863/1998).
Virtue Ethics	The ethical theory emphasizing the moral character of the individual rather than rules or consequences (Aristotle, 350 BCE/2009).
Eudaimonia	Aristotle's concept of human flourishing through virtuous living.
Moral Reasoning	The process of evaluating actions and decisions according to ethical principles.

References

- Aristotle. (2009). *Nicomachean ethics* (W. D. Ross, Trans.). Oxford University Press. (Original work published ca. 350 BCE)
- Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2019). *Principles of biomedical ethics* (8th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Bentham, J. (1996). *An introduction to the principles of morals and legislation* (J. H. Burns & H. L. A. Hart, Eds.). Clarendon Press. (Original work published 1789)
- Kant, I. (1993). *Groundwork of the metaphysics of morals* (J. W. Ellington, Trans.). Hackett Publishing Company. (Original work published 1785)
- MacIntyre, A. (2007). *After virtue: A study in moral theory* (3rd ed.). University of Notre Dame Press.
- Mill, J. S. (1998). *Utilitarianism* (R. Crisp, Ed.). Oxford University Press. (Original work published 1863)
- O'Neill, O. (2013). *Acting on principle: An essay on Kantian ethics* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

University Ziane Achour, Djelfa

Faculty of Letters and Languages

English Department

Master one of Didactics

Ethics and Deontology

Course Instructor: Dr. Ouafa Ouarniki **E-mail:ouafa.ouarniki@univ-djelfa.dz**

Lecture 3: Academic Freedom and Responsibility :

Balancing Independence and Moral Accountability

Learning Objectives

By the end of this lecture, students will be able to:

1. **Define** academic freedom and identify its philosophical and institutional foundations.
2. **Explain** the relationship between academic freedom and professional responsibility.
3. **Analyze** ethical dilemmas that emerge when freedom conflicts with institutional rules or moral obligations.
4. **Evaluate** how academic freedom is exercised within the framework of research ethics and deontology.
5. **Reflect** on their own rights and responsibilities as emerging scholars in higher education.

1. Introduction

Academic freedom lies at the heart of higher education. It represents the right of scholars to teach, research, and express ideas without undue interference. Yet this freedom is not absolute; it must coexist with moral and professional responsibility.

According to Altbach (2001), academic freedom is "the lifeblood of the university," allowing knowledge to develop through independent inquiry (p. 205). However, as Bok (2017) reminds us, freedom in academia also implies accountability, educators and researchers are answerable for how they use that freedom and how their actions affect students, colleagues, and society.

In this lecture, we explore how academic freedom and ethical responsibility intersect. We will also analyze the challenges that modern educators face, from self-censorship to the misuse of digital tools, and discuss how ethical frameworks can guide balanced decision-making.

2. Defining Academic Freedom

The concept of academic freedom originates in the Humboldtian model of the university, introduced by Wilhelm von Humboldt in early 19th-century Germany. Humboldt envisioned a community of scholars united by the pursuit of truth (*Wissenschaft*) and guided by intellectual independence.

The American Association of University Professors (AAUP) defined academic freedom in its 1915 Declaration of Principles, asserting that faculty members must be free to teach, research, and publish without external constraints (AAUP, 1915/1990).

Today, academic freedom includes:

- *Freedom of research:* To pursue inquiry wherever evidence leads.
- *Freedom of teaching:* To present knowledge honestly and critically.
- *Freedom of expression:* To share scholarly opinions without fear of retribution.

However, these freedoms must be balanced by duties to truth, fairness, and respect. Freedom without responsibility risks becoming an excuse for bias or negligence.

3. Academic Responsibility and Deontology

3.1 Responsibility as the Moral Counterpart of Freedom

Responsibility is the ethical dimension that gives meaning to freedom. As Weber (1919/2004) argued in *Science as a Vocation*, true scholars act responsibly, aware that knowledge carries consequences. Freedom enables inquiry; responsibility ensures integrity.

In academic settings, responsibility manifests as:

- **Intellectual honesty:** Reporting results accurately.
- **Pedagogical fairness:** Evaluating students impartially.
- **Respect for others' ideas:** Acknowledging sources properly.
- **Social responsibility:** Using knowledge for the common good.

As the UNESCO Recommendation concerning the Status of Higher-Education Teaching Personnel (1997) emphasizes, academic freedom must be exercised *"in accordance with ethical and professional responsibility toward students and society"* (Article 26).

3.2 Deontological Framework in Academic Conduct

Deontology provides a normative guide for balancing freedom and duty. The Charte nationale d'éthique et de déontologie universitaires (MESRS, 2019) in Algeria explicitly connects academic freedom to moral obligation, defining it as the "responsible exercise of independence in teaching and research, in conformity with scientific integrity and institutional values."

Thus, deontological principles ensure that freedom serves knowledge, not personal interest. For example:

- A researcher's freedom to publish does not justify data manipulation.
- A lecturer's freedom of expression does not excuse discriminatory language.
- A student's freedom to use AI does not permit misrepresentation of authorship.

4. Ethical Challenges to Academic Freedom in the Digital Era

In contemporary academia, new technologies and global communication have expanded both opportunities and ethical risks. The freedom to access and share information is accompanied by challenges such as:

4.1 Plagiarism and Intellectual Property

The open nature of the internet facilitates learning but also increases the risk of plagiarism. As Park (2003) notes, students often fail to distinguish between accessibility and authorship, assuming that what is online is free to use. Upholding academic freedom means respecting intellectual ownership and giving credit where due.

4.2 The Ethical Use of Artificial Intelligence

AI tools like ChatGPT can assist writing and analysis, but ethical use requires transparency and acknowledgment. According to Resnik (2020), ethical research demands that "credit be given where intellectual contribution occurs" (p. 6). Misusing AI by presenting its output as personal work compromises integrity and undermines trust in scholarship.

4.3 Institutional Pressure and Self-Censorship

Scholars sometimes limit their expression due to political or institutional constraints. As **Altbach (2001)** observes, true academic freedom "exists only where intellectual independence is protected by a culture of tolerance and accountability" (p. 211). Ethical responsibility involves defending this independence while remaining respectful of institutional contexts.

5. Balancing Freedom and Responsibility

Ethical decision-making in academia requires finding the right balance between the right to inquire and the duty to act responsibly. This can be illustrated through three complementary principles:

Principle	Freedom Component	Responsibility Component	Example in Practice
Truth and Integrity	Freedom to seek and publish the truth.	Obligation to ensure accuracy and honesty in data.	Reporting findings without fabrication.
Respect and Fairness	Freedom to express critical ideas.	Duty to avoid harm or discrimination.	Discussing controversial topics respectfully.
Transparency and Accountability	Freedom to explore new tools (e.g., AI).	Responsibility to disclose their use.	Citing AI assistance when applicable.

As Bok (2017) asserts, academic freedom should "foster responsible inquiry, not personal license" (p. 83). The ethical scholar acts not merely as an autonomous thinker but as a guardian of truth serving both academia and society.

6. Workshop: "Freedom in Action: Ethical Decision Scenarios"

Task

Form small groups. Each group analyzes one of the following scenarios and presents a brief ethical response based on the principles of freedom and responsibility:

1. A lecturer posts personal political opinions on the course platform. Students complain it affects classroom neutrality.

2. A researcher publishes an article using data collected by a colleague without acknowledgment.
3. A student uses AI-generated summaries in a thesis literature review but does not cite the tool.

Groups will discuss:

- Which freedoms are at stake?
- What responsibilities are being ignored?
- What ethical action should be taken?

Expected Outcome

Students will learn to identify ethical limits within academic freedom and articulate responsible alternatives consistent with deontological principles.

7. Summary

Academic freedom is the cornerstone of higher education, enabling the pursuit of truth and innovation. Yet, as this lecture demonstrated, freedom divorced from responsibility endangers integrity and trust.

Deontological ethics reminds us that with every right comes duty. Utilitarian perspectives highlight the social impact of research, while virtue ethics emphasizes the moral character of the scholar. Together, they form a holistic view of responsible academic freedom.

In the Algerian context, promoting ethical freedom means nurturing an academic culture where intellectual independence and moral integrity coexist harmoniously.

8. Glossary of Key Terms

Term	Definition
Academic Freedom	The right of scholars to teach, research, and express ideas without external control, guided by truth and integrity (AAUP, 1915/1990).
Academic Responsibility	The moral duty to exercise academic freedom in ways that respect truth, fairness, and others' rights (UNESCO, 1997).
Deontological Principle	The ethical idea that duties and moral laws should govern behavior (Kant, 1785/1993).
Intellectual Honesty	Commitment to truthfulness and accuracy in all scholarly work (Resnik, 2020).
Institutional Integrity	The adherence of universities to ethical norms ensuring freedom and accountability (MESRS, 2019).

References

- Altbach, P. G. (2001). Academic freedom: International realities and challenges. *Higher Education, 41*(1–2), 205–219. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026791518365
- American Association of University Professors. (1990). *1915 Declaration of principles on academic freedom and academic tenure*. In *AAUP Policy Documents and Reports* (8th ed., pp. 291–301). Johns Hopkins University Press. (Original work published 1915)
- Bok, D. (2017). *The struggle to reform our colleges*. Princeton University Press.
- MESRS. (2019). *Charte nationale d'éthique et de déontologie universitaires* [National charter on university ethics and deontology]. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Algeria.
- Park, C. (2003). In other (people's) words: Plagiarism by university students—literature and lessons. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 28*(5), 471–488. https://doi.org/10.1080/0260293032000120352
- Resnik, D. B. (2020). *Ethics of research with human subjects: Protecting people, advancing science, promoting trust*. Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35070-5
- UNESCO. (1997). *Recommendation concerning the status of higher-education teaching personnel*. Paris: UNESCO.

University Ziane Achour, Djelfa

Faculty of Letters and Languages

English Department

Master one of Didactics

Ethics and Deontology

Course Instructor: Dr. Ouafa Ouarniki **E-mail:ouafa.ouarniki@univ-djelfa.dz**

Lecture 4: Responsibility and Competence in Research :

Ethical Dimensions of Expertise and Practice

Learning Objectives

By the end of this lecture, students will be able to:

1. **Define** professional responsibility and research competence in ethical terms.
2. **Explain** the connection between expertise, accountability, and scientific integrity.
3. **Analyze** ethical challenges related to incompetence, negligence, and misuse of authority.
4. **Apply** ethical principles to ensure credibility and excellence in research practices.
5. **Reflect** on their personal and professional development as responsible researchers.

1. Introduction

Ethical research depends not only on good intentions but also on professional competence. A responsible researcher must know not just *what* to do but *how* to do it properly. As Steneck (2006) asserts, "integrity in research involves both moral commitment and professional skill" (p. 54). Competence, therefore, is a moral issue as much as a technical one. Incompetence, negligence, or lack of rigor can cause harm to participants, distort knowledge, and damage the credibility of institutions. In academic environments, responsibility and competence are two sides of the same ethical coin — one cannot exist without the other.

This lecture explores the moral dimensions of research responsibility, focusing on how expertise, diligence, and professional self-regulation contribute to ethical academic practice.

2. Understanding Responsibility in Research

2.1 The Meaning of Responsibility

Responsibility derives from the Latin *respondere* ,"to answer" or "to be accountable." In research ethics, it refers to the obligation to act with honesty, accuracy, and care throughout the scientific process. According to Resnik (2020), responsible research involves "commitment to truthfulness, transparency, and respect for the rights of others" (p. 7).

Being responsible means:

- Ensuring accuracy in data collection and analysis.
- Respecting the confidentiality of participants.
- Reporting results truthfully and completely.
- Taking ownership of one's actions and decisions.

2.2 Responsibility as a Collective Duty

Research is rarely an isolated endeavor; it often involves collaboration. Hence, responsibility is both individual and collective. As Shamoo and Resnik (2015) note, each researcher is "a custodian of public trust," whose actions affect the credibility of the entire community (p. 3).

Institutions must also create environments that promote ethical behavior , through transparent policies, mentoring, and accountability systems.

3. Competence as an Ethical Obligation

3.1 Defining Research Competence

Competence refers to the combination of knowledge, skills, and attitudes required to perform research effectively and ethically. According to Beauchamp and Childress (2019), competence is "the capacity to make informed decisions and carry them out responsibly" (p. 122).

In the academic context, competence includes:

- Mastery of research methodology and tools.
- Awareness of ethical norms and regulations.
- Ability to interpret data critically.

Respect for intellectual property and originality.

A competent researcher ensures that scientific inquiry remains credible, replicable, and trustworthy.

3.2 Incompetence and Ethical Risk

Incompetence can have serious moral consequences. Errors resulting from negligence or lack of skill may lead to false conclusions, harm to participants, or loss of public trust. Fanelli (2009) found that up to 33% of researchers admit to questionable research practices, often due to inadequate training or pressure to publish.

Thus, research competence is not only about technical proficiency but about moral commitment to do one's work well.

4. The Relationship Between Responsibility and Competence

Responsibility and competence reinforce one another. Competence without responsibility leads to arrogance or unethical innovation; responsibility without competence results in poor or misleading research.

Ethical scholars combine both , acting conscientiously and continually improving their professional abilities. This relationship can be summarized as follows:

Dimension	Responsibility	Competence	Ethical Outcome
Moral Focus	Accountability and duty	Mastery and diligence	Credibility and trust
Main Principle	Answerability for one's actions	Pursuit of expertise and precision	Ethical reliability
Research Example	Reporting results transparently	Using appropriate methodology	Honest and valid findings
Risk if Ignored	Negligence or misconduct	Inaccuracy or misinformation	Loss of integrity and reputation

As Macfarlane (2009) emphasizes, academic integrity is sustained when researchers "act both competently and conscientiously within a framework of moral accountability" (p. 35).

5. Ethical Responsibilities Across the Research Process

The researcher's ethical obligations extend through all stages of research , from planning to dissemination.

Research Stage	Ethical Responsibility	Competence Requirement
1. Planning	Ensure research has a legitimate and beneficial purpose.	Knowledge of ethical review and proposal design.
2. Data Collection	Protect participants' rights and safety.	Skill in data gathering and consent procedures.
3. Data Analysis	Interpret findings honestly and rigorously.	Competence in analytical methods.
4. Writing and Publication	Avoid plagiarism and acknowledge contributions.	Proficiency in academic writing and citation.
5. Dissemination	Present results truthfully to the community.	Communication and critical evaluation skills.

This continuum illustrates that ethical responsibility is **ongoing** , it begins with the first idea and ends only when the researcher ensures the integrity of published work.

6. Responsibility in the Age of Artificial Intelligence

The emergence of AI in academia introduces new ethical concerns about authorship, accuracy, and expertise. Tools like ChatGPT, while useful, cannot replace human competence or accountability.

According to Floridi and Cowls (2019), responsible AI use must follow the principles of *beneficence, non-maleficence, autonomy,* and *justice*. In research, this means:

- Declaring the use of AI transparently.
- Ensuring that AI outputs are verified by competent human judgment.
- Avoiding overreliance on automated systems for interpretation or writing.

The ethically competent researcher integrates AI as a supportive tool, not as a replacement for intellectual labor or moral reasoning.

7. Workshop: “Competence in Action”

Task

Students will work in pairs to design a short *Code of Responsible Research Practice* for their field. The code should include:

- Three core responsibilities.
- Three professional competences.
- One ethical challenge related to AI or technology.

They will then present their mini codes in class and discuss how competence enhances moral credibility in academic work.

Expected Outcome

Students will learn to translate ethical principles into concrete professional commitments and understand the inseparability of skill and responsibility.

8. Summary

Ethical research is not just about following rules; it is about being competent, careful, and accountable. Responsibility ensures that knowledge serves truth and humanity, while competence ensures that it is pursued effectively and safely.

As Resnik (2020) concludes, “the responsible researcher combines expertise with integrity, ensuring that the advancement of knowledge does not come at the expense of honesty or public trust” (p. 8).

In short, professional competence is an ethical duty , one that defines the credibility and moral worth of the academic community.

9. Glossary of Key Terms

Term	Definition
Responsibility	The moral and professional duty to act honestly, transparently, and accountably (Resnik, 2020).
Competence	The possession of knowledge and skills necessary to conduct ethical and rigorous research (Beauchamp & Childress, 2019).
Negligence	Failure to exercise due care or competence in research practice, resulting in harm or error.
Accountability	The state of being answerable for one's actions, decisions, and outcomes (Shamoo & Resnik, 2015).
Professional Integrity	Adherence to ethical standards and excellence in scholarly conduct (Macfarlane, 2009).

References

- Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2019). *Principles of biomedical ethics* (8th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Fanelli, D. (2009). How many scientists fabricate and falsify research? A systematic review and meta-analysis of survey data. *PLoS ONE, 4*(5), e5738. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0005738
- Floridi, L., & Cowls, J. (2019). A unified framework of five principles for AI in society. *Harvard Data Science Review, 1*(1). https://doi.org/10.1162/99608f92.8cd550d1
- Macfarlane, B. (2009). *Researching with integrity: The ethics of academic enquiry*. Routledge.
- Resnik, D. B. (2020). *Ethics of research with human subjects: Protecting people, advancing science, promoting trust*. Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35070-5
- Shamoo, A. E., & Resnik, D. B. (2015). *Responsible conduct of research* (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press.

University Ziane Achour, Djelfa

Faculty of Letters and Languages

English Department

Master one of Didactics

Ethics and Deontology

Course Instructor: Dr. Ouafa Ouarniki **E-mail:ouafa.ouarniki@univ-djelfa.dz**

Lecture 5: The Demand for Scientific Truth:

Ethics of Honesty, Objectivity, and Transparency in Research

Learning Objectives

By the end of this lecture, students will be able to:

1. **Explain** the ethical foundations of truth, honesty, and objectivity in scientific inquiry.
2. **Recognize** how truth-seeking relates to the credibility and integrity of research.
3. **Analyze** threats to scientific honesty such as bias, falsification, and selective reporting.
4. **Apply** the principles of transparency and objectivity to their own research practices.
5. **Reflect** on the moral obligation of truth-telling as a central virtue in academic life.

1. Introduction

Science and scholarship exist for one ultimate purpose , the pursuit of truth. As Popper (1959) declared, the essence of science lies in "the search for true explanatory theories" (p. 3). Truth, however, is not just a philosophical abstraction; it is a moral commitment that sustains trust between researchers and society. When scholars falsify results, conceal data, or exaggerate claims, they betray that moral duty. According to Steneck (2006), scientific misconduct "undermines the reliability of knowledge and weakens public confidence in the research enterprise" (p. 56). Hence, the ethical commitment to truth forms the foundation of research integrity.

This lecture explores the moral significance of honesty, objectivity, and transparency , the three pillars of scientific truth , and examines how these values guide researchers in an era marked by competition, technology, and misinformation.

2. The Moral Meaning of Truth in Research

2.1 Truth as an Ethical Imperative

The value of truth has long occupied the center of moral philosophy. Aristotle (350 BCE/2009) viewed truth-telling as a virtue, while Kant (1797/1996) argued that lying is always morally wrong because it violates the principle of respect for rational beings.

In research, truth is not simply the opposite of falsehood; it is a commitment to intellectual honesty and rigorous verification. As Resnik (2020) explains, “the pursuit of truth requires openness to evidence, critical reflection, and willingness to revise beliefs when contradicted by data” (p. 15).

Thus, truth is not static , it is dynamic, discovered through dialogue, testing, and transparency.

2.2 Truth and Trust

Truth is inseparable from trust. Universities and scientific institutions depend on public confidence that their findings are accurate and impartial. Once this trust is broken, even valid knowledge is viewed with suspicion. As Oreskes (2019) warns, “trust in science is not blind faith but earned credibility” (p. 21).

For this reason, truth-telling in research is both an epistemological and a moral obligation.

3. The Ethics of Honesty

3.1 Honesty as the Core of Integrity

Honesty is the practical expression of truth in research. It requires accurate data collection, fair reporting, and proper acknowledgment of sources. **Fishman (2014)** includes honesty among the six fundamental values of academic integrity, along with trust, fairness, respect, responsibility, and courage.

A dishonest act , even a small one , can have far-reaching consequences. Fabricated data or manipulated citations may lead to false conclusions that misdirect future research and waste public resources.

3.2 Common Forms of Dishonesty in Academia

Form of Misconduct	Description	Ethical Consequence
Fabrication	Making up data or results.	Produces false knowledge; erodes credibility.
Falsification	Manipulating data or methods.	Misleads the research community.
Plagiarism	Presenting others' work as one's own.	Violates intellectual honesty and fairness.
Selective Reporting	Publishing only favorable results.	Distorts the scientific record.

As Steneck (2006) notes, dishonesty often begins with small compromises, but over time it becomes systemic if left unchecked.

4. The Principle of Objectivity

4.1 Understanding Objectivity

Objectivity means approaching research without personal bias or prejudice. Merton (1942/1973) identified *organized skepticism* and *disinterestedness* as key norms of science, both demand that researchers judge claims by evidence, not by ideology or personal benefit.

Objectivity does not imply total neutrality , complete detachment is impossible , but it requires methodological fairness and critical awareness of one's assumptions.

4.2 Threats to Objectivity

Objectivity is threatened by:

- ***Confirmation bias*:** favoring data that support one's hypothesis.
- ***Conflict of interest:*** when personal gain influences interpretation.
- ***Publication pressure:*** prioritizing output over accuracy.
- ***AI bias:*** uncritical reliance on automated tools that may reproduce existing errors or partialities.

As Douglas (2009) argues, objectivity involves managing values responsibly rather than eliminating them , a researcher must be aware of how values shape inquiry.

4.3 Objectivity in Practice

Maintaining objectivity involves:

- Using transparent and reproducible methods.
- Reporting all relevant data, including unexpected findings.
- Acknowledging uncertainty and limitations.
- Subjecting research to peer review and critique.

These practices ensure that scientific knowledge remains credible and corrigible.

5. Transparency as the Path to Truth

Transparency is the visible sign of ethical research. It means openness about procedures, data, and authorship, allowing others to verify and build upon one's work.The Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE, 2023) defines transparency as "full disclosure of research processes, conflicts of interest, and data accessibility." Transparent communication protects the researcher's integrity and enhances reproducibility , a key element of scientific progress. Transparency also applies to emerging practices like AI-assisted writing and data analysis. Researchers must explicitly acknowledge when such tools are used, ensuring clarity in authorship and accountability.

6. Integrating the Three Pillars of Scientific Truth

Ethical research requires the integration of honesty, objectivity, and transparency , all interdependent virtues that sustain scientific credibility.

Ethical Pillar	Core Principle	Moral Obligation	Research Application
Honesty	Truthfulness in communication.	Report data accurately; cite sources properly.	Avoid fabrication, falsification, and plagiarism.
Objectivity	Fairness in evaluation and interpretation.	Eliminate bias and conflicts of interest.	Use valid methods; disclose limitations.

Transparency	Openness in process and authorship.	Share data, methods, and AI use responsibly.	Facilitate peer review and replication.

Together, these principles ensure that research contributes not only to knowledge but to ethical progress.

7. Workshop: "Truth in Practice"

Task

Form small groups and analyze one of the following ethical dilemmas:

1- A researcher modifies experimental data to fit expected results.
2- A student uses AI-generated summaries without citing the tool.
3- A lecturer omits contradictory evidence to make a stronger argument.

For each case, identify:

- Which ethical principle (honesty, objectivity, transparency) is being violated.
- The possible consequences for credibility and trust.
- Corrective actions that would restore ethical integrity.

Expected Outcome

Students will understand that truth in research is not a passive value but an active responsibility requiring courage, precision, and openness.

8. Summary

The pursuit of scientific truth defines the moral identity of researchers. Honesty preserves accuracy; objectivity ensures fairness; and transparency guarantees accountability. As Resnik (2020) asserts, "truth is the foundation upon which scientific integrity stands — without it, research becomes deception rather than discovery" (p. 18).

In an era where data can be easily manipulated and AI can generate convincing falsehoods, the ethical researcher must act as a guardian of truth — verifying, questioning, and reporting with unwavering integrity.

9. Glossary of Key Terms

Term	Definition
Truth	The correspondence between research claims and empirical reality; the central aim of scientific inquiry (Popper, 1959).
Honesty	Adherence to truth in communication and reporting (Fishman, 2014).
Objectivity	The fair and unbiased pursuit of knowledge through evidence-based reasoning (Merton, 1942/1973).
Transparency	Openness and full disclosure of research procedures, data, and authorship (COPE, 2023).
Research Integrity	Commitment to ethical principles ensuring reliability, accuracy, and fairness (Resnik, 2020).

References

- Aristotle. (2009). *Nicomachean ethics* (W. D. Ross, Trans.). Oxford University Press. (Original work published ca. 350 BCE)
- Committee on Publication Ethics. (2023). *COPE guidelines: Principles of transparency and best practice in scholarly publishing*. https://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines
- Douglas, H. (2009). *Science, policy, and the value-free ideal*. University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Fishman, T. (Ed.). (2014). *The fundamental values of academic integrity* (2nd ed.). International Center for Academic Integrity.
- Kant, I. (1996). *The metaphysics of morals* (M. Gregor, Trans.). Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1797)
- Merton, R. K. (1973). *The sociology of science: Theoretical and empirical investigations*. University of Chicago Press. (Original work published 1942)
- Oreskes, N. (2019). *Why trust science?* Princeton University Press.
- Popper, K. (1959). *The logic of scientific discovery*. Hutchinson.
- Resnik, D. B. (2020). *Ethics of research with human subjects: Protecting people, advancing science, promoting trust*. Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35070-5

- Steneck, N. H. (2006). Fostering integrity in research: Definitions, current knowledge, and future directions. *Science and Engineering Ethics, 12*(1), 53–74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-006-0006-3

University Ziane Achour, Djelfa

Faculty of Letters and Languages

English Department

Master one of Didactics

Ethics and Deontology

Course Instructor: Dr. Ouafa Ouarniki **E-mail:ouafa.ouarniki@univ-djelfa.dz**

Lecture 6: Equity and Objectivity:

Ethical Principles of Fairness, Inclusion, and Justice in Academic Research

Learning Objectives

By the end of this lecture, students will be able to:

1. **Define** the concepts of equity, equality, fairness, and justice within academic and research contexts.
2. **Explain** how ethical principles guide the fair treatment of individuals and groups in research and education.
3. **Identify** potential sources of bias and discrimination that undermine objectivity and integrity.
4. **Apply** strategies that promote inclusion, transparency, and equal opportunity in scholarly work.
5. **Reflect** on their own ethical roles in creating equitable learning and research environments.

1. Introduction

Ethics in academia extends beyond honesty and truth-telling; it also requires fairness and inclusion. Universities are not only spaces of knowledge creation but also communities where justice, respect, and equality must prevail. According to Beauchamp and Childress (2019), justice is one of the four fundamental principles of bioethics, demanding "the fair distribution of benefits, risks, and costs" (p. 250). In research, this means that all participants and scholars should be treated equitably, without bias based on gender, ethnicity, language, religion, or social background.

In the context of Algerian higher education and beyond, the ethical pursuit of fairness also involves linguistic and cultural inclusivity, ensuring that access to academic success is not determined by privilege but by merit and effort.

2. Understanding Equity, Equality, and Fairness

2.1 Equality vs. Equity

Although the terms *equality* and *equity* are often used interchangeably, they refer to distinct ethical ideas.

- **Equality** means treating everyone the same giving identical opportunities or resources.
- **Equity** means recognizing differences and ensuring that each individual receives what they need to achieve similar outcomes.

As Rawls (1971) argues in *A Theory of Justice*, fairness requires compensating for social disadvantages so that opportunities are genuinely equal.

Concept	Definition	Ethical Focus	Example in Academia
Equality	Providing the same conditions to all individuals.	Uniform access and opportunity.	Giving every student the same exam format.
Equity	Adjusting support based on specific needs or circumstances.	Fairness and inclusiveness.	Providing extra support to students with learning difficulties or language barriers.

In education and research, equity is the higher ethical standard, as it acknowledges diversity while pursuing fairness in outcomes.

2.2 Fairness as a Research Principle

Fairness implies acting impartially and judging others by objective criteria. As Resnik (2020) emphasizes, fairness in research "requires unbiased selection of participants, equitable recognition of contributions, and impartial evaluation of work" (p. 19).

Common violations of fairness include:

- Favoritism in grading or supervision.
- Exclusion of certain groups from research participation.
- Gender or linguistic bias in peer review.
- Unacknowledged collaboration or authorship omission.
- Fairness, therefore, is the ethical glue that binds scientific collaboration and educational justice

3. Objectivity and the Elimination of Bias

3.1 The Challenge of Bias

Bias is any systematic distortion that affects judgment or outcomes. According to Douglas (2009), bias is not always intentional; it may arise from cultural norms, institutional structures, or implicit attitudes.

In research, bias can occur at multiple levels:

- *Selection bias:* Choosing subjects that favor expected results.
- *Confirmation bias:* Interpreting data to fit preconceptions.
- *Publication bias:* Preferring positive results over negative or neutral ones.
- *Language bias:* Overvaluing research in dominant languages.
- Ethical researchers must identify and correct such biases to maintain objectivity and justice.

3.2 Objectivity as Ethical Fairness

As discussed in Lecture 5, objectivity involves detachment and impartiality. In this lecture, we deepen that notion: *objectivity is fairness in action.* It demands that researchers be aware of their positionality , their own cultural, social, and intellectual backgrounds , and how these might influence their interpretations. According to Longino (1990), genuine objectivity arises from *critical interaction* among diverse perspectives, not from isolated neutrality. Therefore, inclusivity and fairness strengthen, rather than weaken, objectivity.

4. Equity and Inclusion in Research Practice

Equitable research practices ensure that knowledge production reflects diversity and benefits all segments of society. UNESCO (2021) defines inclusive research as “the systematic effort to ensure equal participation and representation of all individuals in the creation and dissemination of knowledge.”

Ethical inclusion requires:

- Designing studies that represent varied populations.
- Avoiding discriminatory language or stereotypes.
- Recognizing the contributions of collaborators equitably.
- Ensuring accessibility in publications, pedagogy, and dissemination.

In teaching and supervision, inclusion also involves cultural empathy and linguistic sensitivity, especially in multilingual environments such as Algeria, where English, Arabic, and French coexist in academic discourse.

5. Justice and the Ethics of Recognition

Justice, in the academic sense, is not only about procedural fairness but also about recognition and respect. As Fraser (2008) explains, social justice includes two dimensions: *redistribution* (fair access to resources) and *recognition* (equal respect for identity and difference).

Applied to higher education, this means that universities must:

- Reward effort and merit, not privilege.
- Recognize the intellectual value of all disciplines and languages.
- Promote policies that support gender equality and academic diversity.

Justice thus becomes the ethical horizon that unites truth, fairness, and inclusivity in the university community.

6. **Synthesis Table: Ethical Dimensions of Fairness in Research**

Ethical Principle	Moral Aim	Practical Expression in Academia	Example of Violation
Equality	Equal treatment for all.	Standardized admission and grading criteria.	Favoritism or bias.
Equity	Adjusted fairness for diverse needs.	Support for disadvantaged groups.	Ignoring systemic barriers.

Objectivity	Impartial evaluation based on evidence.	Peer review and transparent criteria.	Conflicts of interest.
Justice	Recognition and respect for all.	Inclusive policies and representation.	Discrimination or exclusion.

This synthesis demonstrates that fairness in academia is not a passive virtue but a dynamic ethical practice involving constant reflection and institutional responsibility.

7. Workshop: "Fairness in Action"

Task

Students will form groups of four and discuss one of the following cases:

1. A journal rejects submissions from researchers writing in English as a second language because of "style" concerns.
2. A supervisor credits themselves as first author on a student's research paper.
3. A university promotes male faculty more frequently than female faculty despite similar achievements.

For each case, students must:

- Identify which ethical principles (equity, equality, objectivity, justice) are violated.
- Suggest ethical policies or actions to correct the situation.

Expected Outcome

Students will develop practical awareness of how fairness and justice are expressed through daily academic choices, reinforcing a culture of ethical inclusion.

8. Summary

Ethical research and teaching must embody fairness, equity, and justice. Equality ensures uniform access, while equity ensures real opportunity. Objectivity safeguards impartiality, and justice brings moral balance to the academic community.

As Rawls (1971) reminds us, fairness is the foundation of moral order, without it, institutions lose legitimacy. In research, fairness sustains trust, diversity, and innovation.

The ethically responsible scholar therefore acts with integrity and empathy, ensuring that knowledge serves not only truth but also humanity.

9. Glossary of Key Terms

Term	Definition
Equity	Ethical principle emphasizing fairness according to need and circumstance (Rawls, 1971).
Equality	Treating individuals identically, regardless of differences.
Fairness	Impartial and just treatment without favoritism or bias (Resnik, 2020).
Objectivity	The commitment to evaluate evidence without prejudice (Douglas, 2009).
Justice	Moral ideal combining equality, recognition, and respect for all persons (Fraser, 2008).
Inclusion	Ensuring equal participation and representation in academic and research processes (UNESCO, 2021).

References

- Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2019). *Principles of biomedical ethics* (8th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Douglas, H. (2009). *Science, policy, and the value-free ideal*. University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Fraser, N. (2008). *Scales of justice: Reimagining political space in a globalizing world*. Columbia University Press.
- Longino, H. (1990). *Science as social knowledge: Values and objectivity in scientific inquiry*. Princeton University Press.
- Rawls, J. (1971). *A theory of justice*. Harvard University Press.
- Resnik, D. B. (2020). *Ethics of research with human subjects: Protecting people, advancing science, promoting trust*. Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35070-5
- UNESCO. (2021). *Recommendation on Open Science*. Paris: UNESCO.

University Ziane Achour, Djelfa

Faculty of Letters and Languages

English Department

Master one of Didactics

Ethics and Deontology

Course Instructor: Dr. Ouafa Ouarniki **E-mail:ouafa.ouarniki@univ-djelfa.dz**

Lecture 7: Rights and Obligations of Researchers:

Ethical Accountability and Professional Conduct

Learning Objectives

By the end of this lecture, students will be able to:

1. **Identify** the fundamental rights of researchers in academic and professional contexts.
2. **Explain** the ethical obligations that accompany these rights.
3. **Recognize** the importance of balancing freedom of inquiry with integrity and accountability.
4. **Analyze** real-life cases involving violations of research rights or duties.
5. **Develop** an ethical mindset that integrates individual rights with social responsibility.

1. Introduction

Every researcher operates within a framework of freedom and responsibility. The right to inquire, publish, and express ideas independently is central to scientific progress, but such freedom carries corresponding ethical duties. As UNESCO (1999) emphasizes, “the exercise of academic freedom requires responsibility for ensuring respect for evidence, ethical standards, and the well-being of society.” In other words, the moral legitimacy of research depends not only on what scholars discover, but also on how they conduct their inquiry.

In the Algerian context, the *Charte nationale d’éthique et de déontologie universitaires* (MESRS, 2019) reiterates that researchers must combine professional autonomy with integrity, collaboration, and social engagement. This lecture examines those dual dimensions , the rights that empower researchers and the obligations that sustain academic integrity.

2. The Rights of Researchers

2.1 Freedom of Inquiry

The first and most essential right is freedom of inquiry, the liberty to explore any question guided by evidence and curiosity. According to Altbach (2001), academic freedom "is the foundation of research creativity and innovation" (p. 208). This includes:

- Freedom to choose research topics.
- Freedom to design methodologies.
- Freedom to publish findings without censorship.

Such independence ensures that knowledge advances without political, religious, or commercial interference. However, this freedom is ethically bound by honesty, respect for human dignity, and compliance with institutional regulations.

2.2 Right to Recognition and Authorship

Every researcher has the right to receive credit for intellectual contributions. The Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE, 2023) states that authorship should be based on substantial involvement in the conception, design, analysis, and interpretation of work.

Violations of authorship rights, such as ghost authorship or honorary authorship, undermine justice and trust. Ethical authorship means transparent acknowledgment of each contributor's role.

2.3 Right to Institutional and Material Support

Researchers have a right to access institutional resources, mentorship, and safe working conditions. As Bok (2017) points out, institutions share responsibility in creating environments that foster ethical inquiry, free from discrimination or exploitation. This right also extends to protection against retaliation for exposing misconduct or expressing legitimate criticism, known as whistleblower protection (Resnik, 2020).

3. The Obligations of Researchers

3.1 Obligation to Honesty and Truth

As discussed in Lecture 5, honesty is the cornerstone of ethical research. Researchers must report data truthfully, acknowledge limitations, and avoid any form of falsification or plagiarism. Steneck

(2006) emphasizes that "integrity in research begins with personal responsibility for accuracy and authenticity" (p. 54).

3.2 Obligation to Respect and Confidentiality

Respect for participants and collaborators is both a moral and legal duty. This includes obtaining informed consent, protecting privacy, and ensuring that data are handled securely. In qualitative research, ethical sensitivity toward participants' cultural and emotional realities is essential (Israel & Hay, 2006).

3.3 Obligation to Professional Competence

As Shamoo and Resnik (2015) argue, researchers must continually maintain and update their skills. Incompetence or negligence can cause ethical harm just as much as intentional misconduct. Continuous training in methodology, ethics, and technological tools is therefore a professional obligation.

3.4 Obligation to Social Responsibility

Knowledge is not morally neutral. As Merton (1973) noted, science functions within a moral economy that demands social benefit and public accountability. Researchers have an ethical duty to ensure that their findings contribute to the well-being of society and avoid harm.

This includes being transparent about funding sources, conflicts of interest, and potential misuse of results , particularly in sensitive fields like biotechnology or AI.

4. Balancing Rights and Obligations

Freedom without accountability leads to abuse, while duty without freedom results in conformity. The ethical researcher balances both through self-regulation and collective oversight.

Ethical Dimension	Researcher's Right	Corresponding Obligation	Moral Outcome
Freedom of Inquiry	Explore, publish, and teach freely.	Seek truth honestly and respect evidence.	Integrity in discovery.

Authorship	Receive recognition for work.	Credit collaborators and cite sources.	Fairness and transparency.
Institutional Support	Access resources and protection.	Use them responsibly and ethically.	Mutual trust and safety.
Expression and Critique	Voice scholarly opinions.	Avoid defamation or bias.	Respectful dialogue.
Social Engagement	Contribute to community and society.	Ensure results benefit humanity.	Ethical citizenship.

This balance defines what Legault (2003) calls *professional deliberation* — an ongoing process of moral reasoning in which researchers navigate complex choices between autonomy and responsibility.

5. Violations and Ethical Consequences

Violating research obligations has moral, professional, and legal repercussions.
Common violations include:

- **Data falsification or fabrication** → leads to retractions and loss of credibility.
- **Plagiarism or unethical authorship** → damages professional reputation.
- **Conflict of interest concealment** → erodes trust in published research.
- **Abuse of authority** (e.g., supervisors exploiting students' work) → violates justice and institutional ethics.

In the Algerian *Charte nationale d'éthique et de déontologie universitaires* (MESRS, 2019), such behaviors are described as "moral and disciplinary breaches" that compromise the integrity of the academic institution.

6. Workshop: "Balancing Freedom and Duty"

Task

Students will work in small groups to analyze one of the following cases:

1. A supervisor insists on being listed as first author on a paper they did not write.
2. A research team hides negative results to protect its funding source.
3. A student publicly criticizes institutional policies but uses disrespectful language online.

Each group must identify:

- Which rights and obligations are at stake.
- Whether the action is ethically justified.
- How the situation could be resolved according to deontological principles.

Expected Outcome

Students will learn to evaluate real academic conflicts through a balanced ethical lens, integrating moral principles with professional conduct.

7. Summary

Researchers are both autonomous agents and moral actors. Their rights to freedom, recognition, and expression empower creativity, while their obligations to honesty, respect, competence, and responsibility safeguard integrity. As Resnik (2020) asserts, "scientific freedom can only thrive within a culture of ethical responsibility" (p. 22). The responsible researcher recognizes that every privilege carries a moral counterpart, to serve truth, fairness, and humanity through knowledge.

8. Glossary of Key Terms

Term	Definition
Academic Freedom	The right to research, teach, and publish without undue interference (UNESCO, 1999).
Authorship Ethics	Principles determining fair credit for intellectual contributions (COPE, 2023).

Accountability	Being answerable for one's actions, decisions, and outcomes (Steneck, 2006).
Social Responsibility	Obligation to ensure research benefits society and avoids harm (Merton, 1973).
Whistleblower Protection	Safeguards for individuals who expose misconduct (Resnik, 2020).

References

- Altbach, P. G. (2001). Academic freedom: International realities and challenges. *Higher Education, 41*(1–2), 205–219. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026791518365
- Bok, D. (2017). *The struggle to reform our colleges*. Princeton University Press.
- Committee on Publication Ethics. (2023). *COPE guidelines: Principles of authorship and contributorship*. https://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines
- Israel, M., & Hay, I. (2006). *Research ethics for social scientists*. Sage Publications.
- Legault, G. A. (2003). *Professionnalisme et délibération éthique*. Presses de l'Université du Québec.
- MESRS. (2019). *Charte nationale d'éthique et de déontologie universitaires* [National charter on university ethics and deontology]. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Algeria.
- Merton, R. K. (1973). *The sociology of science: Theoretical and empirical investigations*. University of Chicago Press.
- Resnik, D. B. (2020). *Ethics of research with human subjects: Protecting people, advancing science, promoting trust*. Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35070-5
- Shamoo, A. E., & Resnik, D. B. (2015). *Responsible conduct of research* (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Steneck, N. H. (2006). Fostering integrity in research: Definitions, current knowledge, and future directions. *Science and Engineering Ethics, 12*(1), 53–74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-006-0006-3
- UNESCO. (1999). *Recommendation concerning the status of higher-education teaching personnel*. Paris: UNESCO.

University Ziane Achour, Djelfa

Faculty of Letters and Languages

English Department

Master one of Didactics

Ethics and Deontology

Course Instructor: Dr. Ouafa Ouarniki **E-mail:ouafa.ouarniki@univ-djelfa.dz**

Lecture 8: Plagiarism and Intellectual Honesty:

Preventing Academic Misconduct in the Digital Age

Learning Objectives

By the end of this lecture, students will be able to:

1. **Define** plagiarism and distinguish it from legitimate citation and collaboration.
2. **Identify** different types and degrees of plagiarism in academic work.
3. **Explain** the moral, academic, and legal implications of plagiarism.
4. **Analyze** the ethical challenges posed by digital technology and artificial intelligence (AI).
5. **Apply** appropriate strategies to ensure originality and intellectual honesty in their research.

1. Introduction

Plagiarism is one of the most common and serious violations of academic ethics. It undermines intellectual integrity, erodes trust, and distorts the entire process of knowledge creation. According to Park (2003), plagiarism represents "a moral failure that threatens the credibility of the educational system" (p. 472).

In the digital era, plagiarism has taken new forms , from copy-paste behavior to the unacknowledged use of AI-generated text. Yet, the ethical problem remains the same: ***claiming ownership of ideas that are not one's own***.

This lecture explores plagiarism from both ethical and deontological perspectives, showing how it violates the researcher's moral duty to honesty and respect for intellectual property.

2. Understanding Plagiarism

2.1 Definition and Ethical Meaning

Plagiarism is derived from the Latin *plagiarius*, meaning "kidnapper" metaphorically, the act of stealing someone's intellectual work. Fishman (2014) defines it as "deliberately using another's language, ideas, or expressions without acknowledging the source, thereby misrepresenting them as one's own" (p. 15).

From an ethical standpoint, plagiarism is not only about rule-breaking but about betraying the trust that sustains academic dialogue. As Resnik (2020) notes, intellectual honesty requires that "credit be given where intellectual contribution occurs" (p. 6).

Plagiarism thus violates three fundamental values of academic integrity: honesty, trust, and fairness.

2.2 Types of Plagiarism

Plagiarism can be intentional or unintentional, verbal or structural, traditional or digital.
The table below summarizes common forms:

Type of Plagiarism	Description	Ethical Implication
Direct plagiarism	Copying text word-for-word without citation.	Dishonesty and theft of intellectual property.
Mosaic plagiarism	Mixing copied phrases with original text.	Conceals dependence on others' work.
Paraphrasing plagiarism	Rewording ideas without proper attribution.	Misrepresents originality.
Self-plagiarism	Reusing one's own previous work without acknowledgment.	Violates honesty and transparency.
AI-assisted plagiarism	Using generative AI content without disclosure.	Blurs authorship and accountability.

Collaborative plagiarism	Submitting group work as individual effort.	Breaches fairness and responsibility.

Each form reveals a different degree of moral failure , from ignorance to deliberate deception , but all undermine the value of authentic scholarship.

3. Causes of Plagiarism

Understanding why students and researchers plagiarize helps address the problem ethically rather than merely punitively.
Common causes include:

- **Lack of academic writing skills.** Many students struggle to paraphrase or cite properly.
- **Pressure to publish or succeed.** Competitive environments encourage shortcuts.
- **Cultural perceptions.** In some contexts, sharing ideas is valued over individual authorship (Sowden, 2005).
- **Ignorance of citation standards.** Some plagiarists act unintentionally.
- **Ease of access.** The internet and AI tools make copying simple.

As Gullifer and Tyson (2010) observed, preventing plagiarism requires not only penalties but also *ethical education*, fostering awareness and pride in original thought.

4. Ethical Dimensions of Intellectual Honesty

4.1 The Moral Duty of Attribution

Acknowledging sources is a moral act of respect. It honors the intellectual labor of others and ensures transparency in the chain of ideas. Kant's (1797/1996**)** deontological ethics underscores that taking credit for another's work treats them as a means to an end rather than as an end in themselves, a clear moral violation. Proper citation is, therefore, an ethical acknowledgment of intellectual interdependence.

4.2 The Value of Originality

Originality in research does not mean complete novelty but honest synthesis and extension of previous knowledge. As Macfarlane (2009) notes, "intellectual honesty involves situating one's work responsibly within existing scholarship" (p. 41). By embracing integrity, researchers become part of a moral community that advances knowledge through shared respect and creativity.

5. Plagiarism in the Age of Artificial Intelligence

5.1 AI and Authorship Challenges

AI tools such as ChatGPT or paraphrasing platforms can generate text that appears original but lacks authorship. The ethical dilemma arises when such outputs are presented as human work. Floridi and Cowls (2019) propose five ethical principles for AI: beneficence, non-maleficence, autonomy, justice, and explicability , the last referring to transparency in how AI is used.

When students or researchers use AI-generated content without disclosure, they violate explicability and accountability. Proper practice requires acknowledging AI assistance as part of the methodology or acknowledgment section.

5.2 Responsible AI Use in Academia

Responsible use of AI includes:

- Declaring when AI tools contribute to writing or analysis.
- Critically reviewing and verifying AI outputs.
- Maintaining authorship control and intellectual oversight.
- Using AI for support, not substitution, of human creativity.

As Resnik (2020) warns, technological convenience must never override moral responsibility — ethical authorship remains a human obligation.

6. Prevention and Ethical Practice

Ethical education and institutional policies are key to preventing plagiarism.
Effective strategies include:

- **Awareness and training:** Teaching citation and paraphrasing skills.
- **Use of plagiarism detection tools:** As deterrents, not substitutes for moral judgment.
- **Promoting a culture of integrity:** Celebrating original work and honest effort.
- **Clear institutional policies:** Transparent sanctions and consistent enforcement.

Ethical prevention works best when combined with *positive motivation* , valuing authenticity and creativity over mere compliance.

6. Workshop: "Detect and Reflect"

Task

Students will be given three short text excerpts , one properly cited, one paraphrased without acknowledgment, and one AI-generated summary. They must:

1. Identify which excerpt represents plagiarism.
2. Explain the ethical problem involved.
3. Suggest how to correct or cite properly.

Expected Outcome

Students will develop the ability to detect different forms of plagiarism and apply citation ethics consistently in their academic writing.

8. Synthesis Table: Ethical vs. Academic Perspectives on Plagiarism

Perspective	Focus	Key Question	Moral Consequence
Academic	Compliance with institutional rules.	"Did the student cite correctly?"	Administrative penalty or warning.
Ethical	Integrity, honesty, and respect.	"Did the student act transparently and fairly?"	Moral breach of trust and credibility.

This distinction reminds us that avoiding plagiarism is not just an academic formality , it is a moral responsibility reflecting one's intellectual character.

9. Summary

Plagiarism is a breach of ethical integrity, academic honesty, and professional respect. It undermines truth, fairness, and originality , the pillars of scholarly work. As Fishman (2014) asserts, academic integrity is not about fear of punishment but about *commitment to truth and trust*. In the digital and AI era, this commitment is more vital than ever. Students and researchers must act as moral stewards of knowledge, ensuring that what they produce is both original and ethically accountable.

10. Glossary of Key Terms

Term	Definition
Plagiarism	Using another's work or ideas without acknowledgment (Fishman, 2014).
Intellectual Honesty	The virtue of truthfulness and respect for the work of others (Macfarlane, 2009).
Attribution	The ethical practice of giving credit to sources (Resnik, 2020).
AI-Assisted Writing	The use of artificial intelligence tools to support, but not replace, human authorship (Floridi & Cowls, 2019).
Academic Integrity	The commitment to honesty, trust, fairness, respect, responsibility, and courage (Fishman, 2014).

References

- Fishman, T. (Ed.). (2014). *The fundamental values of academic integrity* (2nd ed.). International Center for Academic Integrity.
- Floridi, L., & Cowls, J. (2019). A unified framework of five principles for AI in society. *Harvard Data Science Review, 1*(1). https://doi.org/10.1162/99608f92.8cd550d1
- Gullifer, J., & Tyson, G. A. (2010). Exploring university students' perceptions of plagiarism: A focus group study. *Studies in Higher Education, 35*(4), 463–481. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070903096508
- Kant, I. (1996). *The metaphysics of morals* (M. Gregor, Trans.). Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1797)
- Macfarlane, B. (2009). *Researching with integrity: The ethics of academic enquiry*. Routledge.
- MESRS. (2019). *Charte nationale d'éthique et de déontologie universitaires* [National charter on university ethics and deontology]. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Algeria.
- Park, C. (2003). In other (people's) words: Plagiarism by university students—literature and lessons. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 28*(5), 471–488. https://doi.org/10.1080/0260293032000120352
- Resnik, D. B. (2020). *Ethics of research with human subjects: Protecting people, advancing science, promoting trust*. Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35070-5

- Sowden, C. (2005). Plagiarism and the culture of multilingual students in higher education abroad. *ELT Journal, 59*(3), 226–233. https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/cci042

University Ziane Achour, Djelfa

Faculty of Letters and Languages

English Department

Master one of Didactics

Ethics and Deontology

Course Instructor: Dr. Ouafa Ouarniki **E-mail:ouafa.ouarniki@univ-djelfa.dz**

Lecture 9: Ethical Research with Human Participants :

Consent, Privacy, and Respect for Dignity

Learning Objectives

By the end of this lecture, students will be able to:

1. **Explore** the ethical principles guiding research with human participants.
2. **Explain** the significance of informed consent, confidentiality, and respect for human dignity.
3. **Identify** common ethical dilemmas in data collection and participant interaction.
4. **Evaluate** the ethical use of AI and digital tools when handling human data.
5. **Apply** ethical frameworks to design and conduct responsible research practices.

1. Introduction

Human-centered research stands at the intersection of knowledge and morality. Every study involving people — whether through interviews, surveys, or experiments , must prioritize participants' rights and well-being. As Beauchamp and Childress (2019) highlight, ethical research depends on four core principles: *respect for autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice.* When these principles are violated, the integrity of research collapses.

In social sciences and education, including language research, ethical awareness becomes even more delicate, as participants share not only data but also emotions, experiences, and identities. Thus, ethical research begins with respect for human dignity.

2. Historical Foundations of Human Research Ethics

Ethical research standards emerged as a response to historical abuses.
Key milestones include:

- ***The Nuremberg Code (1947):*** Established voluntary consent as an absolute requirement after World War II human experimentation abuses.
- ***The Declaration of Helsinki (1964, amended 2013):*** Developed by the World Medical Association, it outlined ethical principles for medical and behavioral research.
- ***The Belmont Report (1979):*** Introduced the three guiding principles for research ethics , *respect for persons, beneficence,* and *justice* , which remain influential today.

These codes transformed ethics from moral advice into *binding professional standards,* ensuring that scientific advancement never overrides human rights.

3. The Principle of Informed Consent

3.1 Meaning and Purpose

Informed consent is the cornerstone of ethical research. It refers to a participant's voluntary agreement to take part in a study after receiving clear information about its purpose, risks, and benefits.

According to Faden and Beauchamp (1986), genuine consent requires three conditions:

- ***Information*** *:* participants must understand what the study involves.
- ***Comprehension*** *:* they must be capable of making an informed decision.
- ***Voluntariness*** *:* the decision must be free from coercion or undue influence.

Obtaining consent is not merely a procedural step, it is a *moral dialogue* that recognizes participants as autonomous and rational beings.

3.2 Cultural Sensitivity in Consent

In multicultural contexts like Algeria, researchers must adapt consent processes to local cultural norms while upholding ethical universality. As Israel and Hay (2006) note, "respecting cultural traditions must never justify compromising individual rights" (p. 58). This means that while oral consent may sometimes be culturally appropriate, it must still ensure comprehension, voluntariness, and documentation of ethical approval.

4. Privacy and Confidentiality

4.1 The Ethical Duty of Privacy

Respecting privacy means protecting personal and sensitive data from unauthorized exposure. Researchers have a moral and legal obligation to safeguard identities, responses, and personal information.

According to Resnik (2020), confidentiality "builds trust between researcher and participant, allowing honest participation and reducing harm" (p. 37).

4.2 Methods of Protection

To uphold confidentiality:

- Use pseudonyms or anonymized data in publications.
- Store data securely, whether digitally or physically.
- Restrict data access to authorized personnel only.
- Obtain consent for recording or sharing any part of participants' input.

4.3 Ethical Issues in the Digital Era

With online surveys, video interviews, and cloud storage, data security has become more complex. Markham and Buchanan (2012) stress that researchers must apply ethical reflection "to every stage of digital data handling, from collection to dissemination." AI tools that analyze personal data raise additional questions: Who owns the data? How can we ensure anonymity when AI models retain linguistic patterns? These challenges require continuous ethical vigilance.

5. Respect for Dignity and Non-Maleficence

Respect for dignity extends beyond privacy : it involves recognizing the inherent worth of every participant.
As The British Psychological Society (BPS, 2018) explains, "participants should never be treated merely as means to an end."

This includes avoiding:

- Psychological or emotional harm.
- Misrepresentation or misquotation.
- Exploitation of vulnerable populations (e.g., minors, refugees, or students).

Ethical research aims not only to prevent harm (*non-maleficence*) but also to promote benefits (*beneficence*). A respectful relationship ensures that research contributes positively to participants and society.

6. AI, Human Data, and Ethical Accountability

6.1 AI in Data Collection

Artificial Intelligence is increasingly used to collect, process, and interpret human data — from sentiment analysis to automatic transcription. While AI improves efficiency, it also amplifies ethical risks related to consent, data ownership, and bias.

As Floridi and Cowls (2019) argue, the ethical use of AI depends on *transparency, accountability, and explicability*. Participants must be informed when AI tools are used to collect or process their information.

6.2 Avoiding Algorithmic Bias

AI systems may replicate societal biases, resulting in discriminatory interpretations of data. Ethical researchers must therefore:

- Critically examine datasets for representation and fairness.
- Disclose AI involvement in publications.
- Retain human oversight in analysis and interpretation.

Responsible research integrates technological innovation with moral reflection, ensuring that AI serves humanity rather than manipulates it.

7. Synthesis Table: Core Ethical Principles in Human Research

Principle	Definition	Ethical Aim	Research Application
Respect for Persons	Acknowledging participants' autonomy and rights.	Protect individual choice and dignity.	Informed consent, voluntary participation.
Beneficence	Maximizing benefits and minimizing harm.	Promote participant well-being.	Risk assessment, psychological safety.

Non-Maleficence	"Do no harm."	Avoid causing distress or injury.	Data protection, debriefing.
Justice	Fair distribution of research benefits and burdens.	Ensure equity and inclusion.	Diverse participant recruitment.
Explicability (AI Ethics)	Transparency and accountability in digital processes.	Clarify use and limits of AI tools.	Disclosure of AI-assisted data handling.

8. Workshop: "Consent and Context"

Task

Students will analyze the following case:

A researcher interviews undergraduate students about their learning experiences via an online platform. She records the sessions but does not inform them that the videos will be analyzed using AI transcription software and stored on cloud servers.

Students must:

1. Identify which ethical principles are violated.
2. Suggest how informed consent should have been obtained.
3. Propose steps to ensure data confidentiality and AI transparency.

Expected Outcome

Students will learn to apply ethical reasoning to modern research challenges, particularly those involving technology, privacy, and respect for human dignity.

9. Summary

Ethical research with human participants demands care, transparency, and respect. It is not simply about following rules but about embodying moral responsibility.

From consent to confidentiality, from fairness to AI ethics, researchers must balance innovation with compassion. As Beauchamp and Childress (2019) emphasize, "to respect a person is to

acknowledge their capacity for self-determination and to act in ways that affirm their dignity" (p. 120).

The ethically responsible researcher thus acts as a guardian of human trust — ensuring that every study honors the lives it seeks to understand.

10. Glossary of Key Terms

Term	Definition
Informed Consent	Voluntary agreement to participate after understanding risks and benefits (Faden & Beauchamp, 1986).
Confidentiality	Ethical duty to protect private information (Resnik, 2020).
Beneficence	The obligation to maximize good outcomes (Beauchamp & Childress, 2019).
Non-Maleficence	The duty to avoid harm (BPS, 2018).
Autonomy	The right of participants to make independent, informed choices (Belmont Report, 1979).
Explicability	Transparency and explainability of AI processes (Floridi & Cowls, 2019).

References

- Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2019). *Principles of biomedical ethics* (8th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- British Psychological Society (BPS). (2018). *Code of ethics and conduct*. BPS. https://www.bps.org.uk/news-and-policy/bps-code-ethics-and-conduct
- Faden, R. R., & Beauchamp, T. L. (1986). *A history and theory of informed consent*. Oxford University Press.
- Floridi, L., & Cowls, J. (2019). A unified framework of five principles for AI in society. *Harvard Data Science Review, 1*(1). https://doi.org/10.1162/99608f92.8cd550d1
- Israel, M., & Hay, I. (2006). *Research ethics for social scientists*. Sage Publications.
- Markham, A. N., & Buchanan, E. (2012). Ethical decision-making and Internet research: Recommendations from the AoIR ethics working committee (Version 2.0). *Association of Internet Researchers*. https://aoir.org/reports/ethics2.pdf

- Resnik, D. B. (2020). *Ethics of research with human subjects: Protecting people, advancing science, promoting trust*. Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35070-5
- The Belmont Report. (1979). *Ethical principles and guidelines for the protection of human subjects of research*. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.
- World Medical Association (WMA). (2013). *Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects.* WMA. https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects

University Ziane Achour, Djelfa

Faculty of Letters and Languages

English Department

Master one of Didactics

Ethics and Deontology

Course Instructor: Dr. Ouafa Ouarniki **E-mail:ouafa.ouarniki@univ-djelfa.dz**

Lecture 10: Ethical Data Management and Research Transparency :

From Collection to Publication

Learning Objectives

By the end of this lecture, students will be able to:

1. **Explain** the ethical importance of data integrity and transparency across all research stages.
2. **Identify** best practices in data collection, storage, analysis, and sharing.
3. **Recognize** ethical risks in data fabrication, falsification, and selective reporting.
4. **Apply** principles of open science and reproducibility in their own research.
5. **Evaluate** digital and AI-related challenges in data privacy and responsible disclosure.

1. Introduction

In research, data are the foundation of truth. The ethical management of data , from their collection to publication , reflects a researcher's commitment to honesty, accountability, and scientific rigor.

As Steneck (2006) states, "integrity in research depends as much on how data are handled as on how results are interpreted" (p. 55). Poor data practices or hidden manipulations undermine the credibility of entire disciplines.

In the modern digital context, data are no longer static: they are collected, processed, and shared globally through open-access repositories and AI systems. Ethical researchers must therefore balance transparency and privacy, ensuring that openness never compromises confidentiality or consent.

2. The Ethical Foundations of Data Integrity

Ethical data management rests on three fundamental principles:

- **Accuracy** : Data should be collected, recorded, and reported truthfully.
- **Transparency** : Research processes should be open and verifiable.
- **Accountability** : Researchers must take responsibility for how data are used and shared.

According to Resnik (2020), these principles maintain public trust in science by ensuring that findings can be independently verified and replicated.

In practical terms, ethical integrity means documenting methods clearly, avoiding data manipulation, and being transparent about limitations or unexpected results.

3. Stages of Ethical Data Management

3.1 Data Collection

Ethical data collection requires informed consent, accuracy, and respect for context. Data should be relevant to the research question and obtained using valid methods. As Israel and Hay (2006) emphasize, researchers must avoid collecting excessive or unnecessary personal data, which could violate privacy. *Example:* Recording interviews without participants' permission breaches confidentiality and moral trust, even if data are later anonymized.

3.2 Data Storage

Proper storage protects data from unauthorized access, loss, or corruption. Secure storage involves encryption, password protection, and limited access to research team members only.

Institutions often require researchers to store data for a defined period (e.g., 5–10 years) before secure deletion. This ensures accountability and traceability of results.

3.3 Data Analysis

Ethical analysis means interpreting data objectively, without selective inclusion or distortion. As Fanelli (2009) found, up to one-third of researchers admit questionable practices such as data trimming or omitting inconvenient results. Such misconduct threatens the foundation of evidence-based inquiry. Ethical analysis also includes transparency about analytical tools, statistical methods, and AI algorithms used in data interpretation.

3.4 Data Sharing and Publication

Data sharing promotes openness and collective progress. The FAIR principles (Wilkinson et al., 2016) recommend that data be Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable.

However, sharing must respect participant privacy and intellectual property rights. Datasets involving human subjects require anonymization and, in many cases, institutional approval before release.

4. Ethical Risks in Data Handling

Misconduct Type	Definition	Ethical Breach	Potential Consequence
Fabrication	Making up data or results.	Dishonesty, deception.	Retraction, dismissal.
Falsification	Manipulating data, instruments, or procedures.	Distortion of truth.	Damaged credibility.
Selective Reporting	Publishing only favorable results.	Misleading representation.	Loss of trust.
Data Hoarding	Refusing to share data without justification.	Lack of transparency.	Obstruction of verification.
AI Misuse	Using AI to alter or generate false data.	Artificial manipulation.	Ethical and legal liability.

Ethical lapses in data handling not only harm individual reputations but also corrode the collective trust upon which academic institutions depend.

5. Open Science and Research Transparency

Open science promotes accessibility, reproducibility, and collaborative progress. According to UNESCO (2021), open science aims to “make scientific knowledge openly available, accessible, and reusable for everyone, fostering equity and innovation.”

Ethical transparency includes:

- Providing access to data and methods where possible.
- Disclosing funding sources and potential conflicts of interest.
- Registering studies in public databases.
- Sharing code or algorithms used in analysis.

However, transparency must not violate confidentiality agreements or participant privacy. As National Academies of Sciences (2017) warn, openness must always be balanced with ethical sensitivity and contextual discretion.

6. AI and Data Ethics

6.1 Algorithmic Accountability

AI systems are increasingly used for data collection, analysis, and even peer review. Ethical researchers must ensure that these systems are transparent and auditable. According to Floridi and Cowls (2019), *explicability*, the ability to understand how an AI system reaches its results , is central to moral accountability. Unethical AI use, such as altering datasets or automating deceptive results, violates both integrity and reproducibility.

6.2 Data Privacy in AI Research

When using AI tools to analyze human data, researchers must comply with data protection regulations, such as the EU's GDPR or equivalent national laws. Participants should be informed if their data are processed by algorithms, and AI-generated insights must not override informed consent.

AI ethics, therefore, reinforces the same human-centered principles , honesty, fairness, and transparency , that govern all responsible research.

7. Synthesis Table: Ethical and Unethical Data Practices

Research Stage	Ethical Practice	Unethical Practice	Moral Consequence
Collection	Obtain informed consent; collect only necessary data.	Deceptive or intrusive data collection.	Violation of autonomy and trust.
Storage	Secure, encrypted, restricted access.	Public or careless exposure of data.	Privacy breaches.

Analysis	Objective interpretation, report all findings.	Manipulation, omission, or bias.	Distortion of truth.
Sharing	Anonymized data, transparent process.	Data hoarding or plagiarism.	Erosion of collaboration.
Publication	Full disclosure and acknowledgment.	Fabrication or ghost authorship.	Academic misconduct.

This table highlights how ethical consistency across all research stages safeguards credibility and contributes to sustainable scientific progress.

8. Workshop: "Transparency in Practice"

Task

Students will analyze the following scenario:

A research team studying online learning behavior collects students' browsing data without explicit consent. They use AI software to predict engagement levels but publish only results that confirm their hypothesis, omitting contradictory findings.

Students must:

1. Identify the ethical and methodological flaws.
2. Explain which stages of data management were violated.
3. Suggest corrective actions to ensure transparency and accountability.

Expected Outcome

Students will gain hands-on experience identifying ethical breaches across multiple data stages and proposing solutions based on transparency and fairness.

9. Summary

Ethical data management ensures that research remains verifiable, trustworthy, and human-centered. From the moment data are collected to their final publication, every step demands honesty, security, and openness. As Steneck (2006) concludes, "integrity in research is not an endpoint but a continuous commitment to truth." In the era of open science and AI, this commitment defines the credibility of academia itself.

Researchers who manage data ethically not only uphold deontological principles but also advance the moral purpose of science: to seek truth for the benefit of all.

9. Glossary of Key Terms

Term	Definition
Data Integrity	Accuracy, consistency, and reliability of research data (Resnik, 2020).
Fabrication	Inventing data or results (Fanelli, 2009).
Falsification	Manipulating or altering data dishonestly (Steneck, 2006).
Transparency	Openness in research processes, allowing verification (UNESCO, 2021).
Open Science	Movement promoting accessible and reproducible research (UNESCO, 2021).
Explicability	Ethical AI principle emphasizing understandability (Floridi & Cowls, 2019).

References

- Fanelli, D. (2009). How many scientists fabricate and falsify research? A systematic review and meta-analysis of survey data. *PLoS ONE, 4*(5), e5738. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0005738
- Floridi, L., & Cowls, J. (2019). A unified framework of five principles for AI in society. *Harvard Data Science Review, 1*(1). https://doi.org/10.1162/99608f92.8cd550d1
- Israel, M., & Hay, I. (2006). *Research ethics for social scientists*. Sage Publications.
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2017). *Fostering integrity in research*. National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/21896
- Resnik, D. B. (2020). *Ethics of research with human subjects: Protecting people, advancing science, promoting trust*. Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35070-5
- Steneck, N. H. (2006). Fostering integrity in research: Definitions, current knowledge, and future directions. *Science and Engineering Ethics, 12*(1), 53–74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-006-0006-3
- UNESCO. (2021). *Recommendation on open science*. Paris: UNESCO.

- Wilkinson, M. D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I. J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A., ... & Mons, B. (2016). The FAIR guiding principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Scientific Data, 3*, 160018. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.18

University Ziane Achour, Djelfa

Faculty of Letters and Languages

English Department

Master one of Didactics

Ethics and Deontology

Course Instructor: Dr. Ouafa Ouarniki **E-mail:ouafa.ouarniki@univ-djelfa.dz**

Lecture 11 : Ethical Publishing and Peer Review:

Honesty, Responsibility, and Collaboration

Learning Objectives

By the end of this lecture, students will be able to:

1. **Explain** the ethical principles underlying academic publishing and peer review.
2. **Identify** different forms of unethical publishing practices such as predatory journals, duplicate submission, and ghost authorship.
3. **Evaluate** the moral duties of authors, reviewers, and editors.
4. **Discuss** the impact of artificial intelligence on scholarly publishing ethics.
5. **Apply** ethical reasoning to real cases of publication misconduct and review bias.

1. Introduction

Academic publishing is not just a means of sharing research , it is the final ethical expression of a scholar's integrity. As Resnik (2020) notes, "ethical publication is the public face of responsible research; it demonstrates respect for accuracy, authorship, and accountability" (p. 41).

Publishing requires balancing individual recognition with collective contribution, ensuring that results are reported truthfully, authors are credited fairly, and peer review remains impartial. In the digital era, the expansion of open-access journals and AI-driven writing tools has increased both opportunities and risks. Ethical awareness must therefore accompany every stage — from manuscript submission to editorial decision.

2. Ethical Principles in Academic Publishing

Ethical publication practices are built upon three moral pillars: honesty, transparency, and fairness.

2.1 Honesty and Integrity

Honesty involves presenting findings truthfully, acknowledging limitations, and avoiding fabrication or falsification. According to COPE (2023), authors must ensure that submitted work is original and that all contributions are properly cited.

Misrepresentation , whether by data manipulation, selective reporting, or omission , violates both academic and moral integrity.

2.2 Transparency and Disclosure

Researchers must disclose funding sources, institutional affiliations, and potential conflicts of interest. As Steneck (2006) explains, transparency "fosters public trust in research and prevents hidden biases from distorting knowledge" (p. 56).

Transparency also extends to the accurate reporting of methodology and results, enabling reproducibility.

2.3 Fairness and Respect

Fairness means treating all contributors and reviewers equitably. Editors should evaluate manuscripts solely on scholarly merit, and reviewers must avoid personal, political, or ideological bias. Ethical fairness sustains the credibility of peer review and academic reputation.

3. Common Ethical Violations in Publishing

Unethical Practice	Description	Ethical Breach	Potential Consequences
Plagiarism	Using others' work without attribution.	Theft of intellectual property.	Retraction, damaged credibility.

Duplicate Publication	Submitting the same paper to multiple journals.	Dishonesty, redundancy.	Blacklisting or suspension.
Gift or Ghost Authorship	Listing non-contributors or omitting actual ones.	Violation of fairness and truth.	Ethical misconduct charge.
Data Fabrication/Falsification	Inventing or manipulating findings.	Distortion of truth.	Retraction, professional sanction.
Predatory Journals	Exploiting authors for fees without peer review.	Commercial exploitation, loss of quality.	Loss of reputation, misinformation.

Ethical publishing ensures that research contributes genuinely to human knowledge rather than inflating academic metrics or personal status.

4. The Ethics of Peer Review

4.1 Role and Moral Duty of Reviewers

Peer reviewers serve as ethical guardians of academic quality. They must evaluate manuscripts fairly, maintain confidentiality, and declare conflicts of interest. As Smith (2006) argues, "the essence of peer review lies in honest, constructive evaluation free from bias" (p. 179).

Ethical reviewers:

- Provide objective and respectful feedback.
- Avoid using unpublished material for personal advantage.
- Complete reviews within agreed deadlines.

4.2 Responsibilities of Editors

Editors ensure that publication decisions are made based on scholarly merit, not personal or financial factors. According to Wager and Kleinert (2011), editors must "uphold integrity by managing conflicts of interest and maintaining transparency in editorial processes" (p. 232). Editorial boards

also play a vital role in preventing publication misconduct through screening tools such as plagiarism detection software.

5. The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Publishing

5.1 Opportunities and Ethical Boundaries

AI tools can assist in language editing, plagiarism checking, and data visualization. However, when AI is used to generate or rewrite text, ethical boundaries emerge regarding **a**uthorship, originality, and accountability.

The Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE, 2023) states that AI cannot be credited as an author because it lacks legal and moral responsibility. Human researchers must disclose the use of AI tools and remain fully accountable for content accuracy.

5.2 AI and Bias in Peer Review

AI-driven manuscript assessment systems can introduce algorithmic bias , favoring certain writing styles, languages, or citation patterns. Ethical oversight requires human review to ensure fairness and inclusivity.

As Floridi and Cowls (2019) emphasize, explicability and accountability are essential when using AI in scholarly processes: researchers and editors must be transparent about how decisions are influenced by algorithms.

6. Case Study: Authorship Dispute

Scenario:
Two researchers collaborate on a study. Researcher A designs the methodology and collects data, while Researcher B writes the paper and submits it without including A as a co-author.

Ethical Analysis:

- **Principle violated:** Fairness and respect for contribution.
- **Ethical resolution:** According to **COPE (2023)** and **APA (2020)** guidelines, all individuals who contribute substantially to the conception, analysis, or writing should be included as co-authors.

- **Preventive action:** Establish authorship agreements early in the research process and confirm contributions before submission.

This case illustrates how ethical awareness protects both collaboration and credibility.

7. Synthesis Table: Roles and Responsibilities in Ethical Publishing

Stakeholder	Ethical Duties	Potential Violations	Moral Value Promoted
Author	Honesty, originality, accurate attribution.	Plagiarism, falsification, duplicate submission.	Integrity.
Reviewer	Objectivity, confidentiality, constructive feedback.	Bias, breach of confidentiality, exploitation.	Fairness.
Editor	Transparency, impartiality, accountability.	Conflict of interest, favoritism.	Trust.
Publisher	Uphold standards, ensure open access ethics.	Predatory behavior, lack of oversight.	Responsibility.

Ethical publishing is a shared enterprise. Each stakeholder contributes to maintaining credibility, justice, and transparency in scholarly communication.

8. Workshop: "The Peer Review Dilemma"

Task

Students are given this case:

A reviewer recognizes the author of a manuscript under anonymous review. The reviewer personally disagrees with the author's theoretical perspective and decides to recommend rejection without justifying the comments.

Students must discuss:

- Which ethical principles are violated?

- How should the reviewer have acted according to professional deontology?
- What role does the editor play in ensuring fairness?

Expected Outcome

Students will develop ethical reasoning about peer review bias, transparency, and the reviewer's duty to integrity and respect.

9. Summary

Ethical publishing and peer review are pillars of scientific credibility. Honesty, fairness, and accountability must guide authors, reviewers, and editors alike.

In the age of AI and open access, transparency becomes not just an expectation but a moral necessity. As Resnik (2020) asserts, "ethical publication ensures that science remains a collective endeavor built on trust, accuracy, and justice" (p. 42). Scholars who publish ethically affirm the highest ideals of academia , truth, collaboration, and human responsibility.

10. Glossary of Key Terms

Term	Definition
Authorship Ethics	Principles determining fair recognition of intellectual contribution (COPE, 2023).
Peer Review	Process of evaluating scholarly work by experts in the field (Smith, 2006).
Predatory Journals	Journals exploiting authors through fees without proper peer review.
Conflict of Interest	A situation where personal interests may influence professional judgment (Wager & Kleinert, 2011).
Explicability	AI ethics principle requiring transparency and understandability (Floridi & Cowls, 2019).

References

- American Psychological Association. (2020). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (7th ed.). APA.
- Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). (2023). *COPE guidelines: Principles of authorship, publication, and peer review.* https://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines
- Floridi, L., & Cowls, J. (2019). A unified framework of five principles for AI in society. *Harvard Data Science Review, 1*(1). https://doi.org/10.1162/99608f92.8cd550d1
- Resnik, D. B. (2020). *Ethics of research with human subjects: Protecting people, advancing science, promoting trust.* Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35070-5
- Smith, R. (2006). Peer review: A flawed process at the heart of science and journals. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 99*(4), 178–182. https://doi.org/10.1258/jrsm.99.4.178
- Steneck, N. H. (2006). Fostering integrity in research: Definitions, current knowledge, and future directions. *Science and Engineering Ethics, 12*(1), 53–74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-006-0006-3
- UNESCO. (2021). *Recommendation on open science.* Paris: UNESCO.
- Wager, E., & Kleinert, S. (2011). *Responsible research publication: International standards for authors and editors.* In Mayer, T., & Steneck, N. (Eds.), *Promoting research integrity in a global environment* (pp. 223–232). World Scientific Publishing.

University Ziane Achour, Djelfa

Faculty of Letters and Languages

English Department

Master one of Didactics

Ethics and Deontology

Course Instructor: Dr. Ouafa Ouarniki **E-mail:ouafa.ouarniki@univ-djelfa.dz**

Lecture 12: Ethical Collaboration and Interdisciplinary Research :

Respect, Diversity, and Shared Responsibility

Learning Objectives

By the end of this lecture, students will be able to:

1. **Define** ethical collaboration and its relevance in academic and interdisciplinary research.
2. **Identify** common ethical challenges in teamwork and multi-institutional projects.
3. **Explain** the moral significance of respect, transparency, and equity in collaborative environments.
4. **Evaluate** issues of authorship, power dynamics, and cultural diversity in research partnerships.
5. **Apply** ethical frameworks to manage collaboration fairly and responsibly.

1. Introduction

In contemporary academia, research increasingly depends on collaboration , between disciplines, institutions, and nations. Ethical collaboration is not merely about sharing tasks; it is about sharing responsibility.

As Steneck (2006) states, “collaboration magnifies both the potential for discovery and the risk of misconduct” (p. 59). Therefore, researchers must act not only as experts but also as moral partners committed to fairness, communication, and mutual respect.

In interdisciplinary and cross-cultural contexts, especially within global academic networks, ethical collaboration requires balancing diverse perspectives while upholding shared values such as honesty, accountability, and inclusivity.

2. The Meaning of Ethical Collaboration

2.1 Definition and Scope

Ethical collaboration refers to cooperative research conducted with integrity, fairness, and mutual respect among all contributors. According to Resnik (2020), it involves "open communication, fair distribution of credit, and the responsible management of joint intellectual property" (p. 75).

Collaboration can occur:

- Within the same institution (intra-institutional).
- Between different universities or research centers (inter-institutional).
- Across national or disciplinary boundaries (international or interdisciplinary).

Each context brings ethical complexities that require clear agreements, transparent communication, and mutual trust.

2.2 Ethical Rationale

Collaboration is ethically valuable because it:

- Promotes inclusivity of knowledge and diversity of perspectives.
- Enhances quality through peer validation and complementarity.
- Encourages professional humility and shared responsibility.

However, without clear ethical boundaries, collaboration may lead to power imbalances, miscommunication, or disputes over credit.

3. Ethical Principles in Collaborative Research

3.1 Respect and Equity

Respect implies valuing every contributor's voice, expertise, and cultural identity. Equity ensures that resources, responsibilities, and recognition are distributed fairly.
As Bozeman and Boardman (2014) observe, ethical collaboration is rooted in "reciprocity, shared benefit, and fairness in resource exchange" (p. 85).

3.2 Communication and Transparency

Open dialogue is essential for preventing misunderstandings and ensuring accountability. Teams should discuss authorship, data sharing, and roles early in the project. Transparency promotes trust and prevents later conflicts.

3.3 Shared Responsibility and Accountability

Ethical collaboration involves collective ownership of both success and failure. According to **NAS (2017)**, "all collaborators bear joint responsibility for the integrity of the research, regardless of individual tasks" (p. 113).

This means that even if misconduct occurs in one part of the project, all members share moral responsibility for its prevention and correction.

4. Ethical Challenges in Collaboration

4.1 Authorship and Credit Allocation

Determining authorship can become contentious. **COPE (2023)** guidelines specify that authorship should reflect *substantial intellectual contribution* , including conception, analysis, or writing.

Unethical practices include:

- **Gift authorship** : listing individuals who did not contribute.
- **Ghost authorship** : excluding contributors from recognition.
- **Order manipulation** : altering author order for political or personal gain.

Transparent authorship agreements and communication prevent such disputes.

4.2 Power Imbalance and Exploitation

In hierarchical or international collaborations, junior or local researchers may be marginalized. As Anderson et al. (2011) highlight, senior researchers must avoid exploiting students or partners through unfair credit or workload distribution. Ethical leadership fosters equality and mentorship.

4.3 Cultural and Disciplinary Diversity

Different disciplines and cultures have varying ethical norms. For instance, natural sciences emphasize quantitative rigor, while social sciences prioritize participant sensitivity. Ethical collaboration recognizes these differences without imposing one's own framework.

4.4 Data Ownership and Intellectual Property

Before beginning a project, teams should agree on:

- Who owns collected data.
- How data can be shared or published.
- How to handle patents or copyrights.

Such agreements uphold transparency and fairness, avoiding later disputes.

5. Interdisciplinary Ethics and Integration

5.1 The Value of Interdisciplinarity

Interdisciplinary research combines multiple fields to address complex social, technological, or environmental problems. As Frodeman (2017) explains, it "requires negotiation between epistemological cultures , each with distinct methods, values, and norms" (p. 21).

Ethical interdisciplinarity is not the elimination of difference but the integration of respect and dialogue.

5.2 Moral Challenges in Interdisciplinary Teams

Differences in publication norms, methodological rigor, or ethical review standards can lead to conflict.
Ethical solutions include:

- Establishing *common ethical guidelines* at the start.
- Practicing *epistemic humility* : recognizing limits of one's discipline.
- Engaging in *reflexivity* : constant ethical reflection on collaboration processes.

Interdisciplinary ethics fosters *ethical pluralism*, allowing diverse methods and moral reasoning to coexist productively.

6. Synthesis Table: Ethical Dimensions of Research Collaboration

Ethical Principle	Moral Aim	Collaborative Practice	Risk if Violated
Respect	Value all contributors and viewpoints.	Inclusive communication and participation.	Marginalization or conflict.
Equity	Fair distribution of credit and resources.	Transparent authorship and workload sharing.	Exploitation or resentment.
Transparency	Promote trust and clarity.	Open data, authorship, and funding disclosure.	Misunderstanding and distrust.
Accountability	Shared responsibility for outcomes.	Joint decision-making and ethical oversight.	Misconduct or blame-shifting.
Cultural Sensitivity	Respect ethical diversity.	Adapt ethical norms across contexts.	Cultural bias or ethical imperialism.

7. Workshop: "The Interdisciplinary Dilemma"

Scenario

An international team of linguists and computer scientists is developing an AI-assisted translation tool. The computer scientists control the data and algorithms, while the linguists feel their intellectual input is minimized. When the paper is submitted, only the computer scientists are listed as authors.

1. dentify the ethical principles violated.
2. Discuss how respect, transparency, and equity could have been maintained.
3. Propose preventive measures for interdisciplinary collaboration.

Expected Outcome

Students will recognize the importance of mutual recognition and ethical communication in shared projects and develop solutions for preventing authorship injustice and disciplinary imbalance.

8. Summary

Ethical collaboration transforms research from competition to collective moral pursuit. It is grounded in respect, fairness, transparency, and shared accountability.

As Resnik (2020) argues, “the ethical collaborator values truth not as an individual achievement but as a joint moral creation” (p. 78).
In interdisciplinary and intercultural settings, ethical collaboration requires humility and dialogue, ensuring that diversity becomes a strength rather than a source of conflict.

Ultimately, collaborative ethics builds a culture of solidarity and trust , the very foundation of sustainable academic progress.

9. Glossary of Key Terms

Term	Definition
Ethical Collaboration	Joint research conducted with honesty, fairness, and respect (Resnik, 2020).
Authorship Equity	Fair recognition of intellectual and practical contributions (COPE, 2023).
Epistemic Humility	Awareness of the limits of one’s disciplinary knowledge (Frodeman, 2017).
Power Imbalance	Unequal authority or recognition among collaborators (Anderson et al., 2011).
Interdisciplinary Ethics	Moral reflection on collaboration across disciplinary boundaries (Bozeman & Boardman, 2014).

References

- Anderson, M. S., Horn, A. S., Risbey, K. R., Ronning, E. A., De Vries, R., & Martinson, B. C. (2011). What do mentoring and research integrity have to do with each other? *Academic Medicine, 86*(4), 436–441. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e31820ce6de
- Bozeman, B., & Boardman, C. (2014). *Research collaboration and team science: A state-of-the-art review and agenda*. Springer.
- Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). (2023). *COPE guidelines: Authorship and contributorship principles.* https://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines
- Frodeman, R. (2017). *The Oxford handbook of interdisciplinarity* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NAS). (2017). *Fostering integrity in research.* National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/21896
- Resnik, D. B. (2020). *Ethics of research with human subjects: Protecting people, advancing science, promoting trust.* Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35070-5
- Steneck, N. H. (2006). Fostering integrity in research: Definitions, current knowledge, and future directions. *Science and Engineering Ethics, 12*(1), 53–74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-006-0006-3

University Ziane Achour, Djelfa

Faculty of Letters and Languages

English Department

Master one of Didactics

Ethics and Deontology

Course Instructor: Dr. Ouafa Ouarniki **E-mail:ouafa.ouarniki@univ-djelfa.dz**

Lecture 13: Ethical Leadership and Supervision in Research:

Mentorship, Integrity, and Academic Growth

Learning Objectives

By the end of this lecture, students will be able to:

1. **Explain** the ethical responsibilities of supervisors and supervisees in academic research.
2. **Identify** qualities of ethical leadership and mentorship in academia.
3. **Recognize** ethical challenges in supervision, including power imbalance and conflict of interest.
4. **Apply** deontological and virtue ethics to the supervisor–student relationship.
5. **Reflect** on professional growth, autonomy, and mutual respect in research collaboration.

1. Introduction

Ethical supervision lies at the heart of successful academic research. Supervisors act as moral leaders who guide not only intellectual progress but also the ethical formation of future scholars.

According to Anderson et al. (2011), “mentoring in research is both a professional obligation and an ethical practice that shapes the integrity of the next generation of scientists” (p. 438).

Supervision, therefore, is not limited to technical support or feedback; it is a moral partnership grounded in trust, transparency, and respect. An ethical supervisor empowers students to think independently, while students uphold honesty, diligence, and accountability.

2. The Ethical Foundations of Academic Supervision

2.1 The Supervisor's Ethical Responsibilities

An ethical supervisor:

- Provides accurate and constructive feedback.
- Ensures equal treatment and avoids favoritism.
- Guides the student in ethical research conduct (e.g., plagiarism prevention, data integrity).
- Respects the student's intellectual ownership and contribution.
- *Maintains clear communication and emotional professionalism.

As Resnik (2020) notes, "supervisors have a moral duty to protect the autonomy and dignity of their mentees while ensuring adherence to scientific standards" (p. 82).

2.2 The Supervisee's Ethical Responsibilities

Students also carry ethical obligations:

- Demonstrating honesty and initiative in research.
- Respecting deadlines, feedback, and institutional protocols.
- Giving credit to the supervisor and collaborators when appropriate.
- Reporting any ethical concerns, such as plagiarism or data manipulation.
- Supervision is thus a ***reciprocal ethical relationship*** based on shared trust and accountability.

3. Ethical Leadership and Mentorship

3.1 Ethical Leadership Defined

Ethical leadership combines moral integrity, empathy, and responsibility. According to Brown and Treviño (2006), ethical leaders "demonstrate normatively appropriate conduct through personal actions and interpersonal relationships" (p. 597).

An ethical academic leader:

- Leads by example and models professional integrity.
- Promotes open dialogue and inclusivity.
- Balances authority with humility and support.

- Encourages critical thinking and intellectual curiosity.

3.2 The Mentor's Moral Role

Beyond supervision, a mentor fosters academic identity and ethical maturity. As Johnson and Nelson (1999) explain, effective mentoring "integrates teaching, modeling, and moral encouragement to help mentees internalize professional ethics" (p. 770).

Mentorship, therefore, is not about control but about empowerment, helping students become autonomous, ethically conscious researchers.

4. Ethical Challenges in Supervision

Ethical Issue	Description	Potential Consequence	Ethical Solution
Power Imbalance	Supervisor dominates or intimidates students.	Fear, dependency, or intellectual suppression.	Establish mutual respect and transparent communication.
Authorship Disputes	Supervisor takes undue credit or omits student.	Violation of fairness and recognition.	Define authorship early and adhere to COPE (2023) guidelines.
Negligence or Absenteeism	Lack of supervision or feedback.	Student confusion, delayed progress.	Set clear meeting schedules and communication norms.
Emotional or Gender Bias	Unequal treatment or personal favoritism.	Loss of trust, ethical complaint.	Maintain professionalism and objectivity.
Conflict of Interest	Supervisor involved in the student's assessment or funding.	Compromised impartiality.	Declare and manage conflicts transparently.

Ethical supervision, therefore, requires constant ***reflexivity*** : the ability to evaluate one's behavior and correct it in light of ethical standards.

5. Building an Ethical Supervision Culture

5.1 Communication and Transparency

Frequent, clear communication reduces misunderstanding. Supervisors should set realistic expectations about timelines, publication, and evaluation. Students should feel safe to discuss difficulties or ethical dilemmas without fear of judgment.

5.2 Mutual Respect and Recognition

Ethical supervision thrives in an atmosphere of mutual respect. Supervisors should recognize the student's intellectual contribution, while students should appreciate the mentor's guidance.

As Brew and Peseta (2017) note, ethical research relationships are sustained by "reciprocity, recognition, and negotiated meaning between supervisor and student" (p. 22).

5.3 Feedback and Accountability

Ethical supervision involves constructive and timely feedback. Supervisors are accountable for helping students meet research standards, while students are accountable for implementing feedback responsibly.

5.4 Institutional and Cultural Context

In Algeria and many other systems, supervision ethics is also influenced by institutional norms and cultural expectations. Ethical practice requires balancing institutional hierarchy with academic freedom and moral equality.

6. Ethical Frameworks in Supervision

Ethical Framework	Core Principle	Application in Supervision
Deontological Ethics (Kantian)	Duty and moral obligation.	Supervisor must uphold fairness, honesty, and justice irrespective of outcomes.
Virtue Ethics (Aristotelian)	Character and moral example.	Supervisor serves as a role model in integrity and humility.
Ethic of Care (Gilligan)	Empathy and relational ethics.	Emphasizes compassion and support in mentorship.

Consequentialism (Mill)	Outcome-based ethics.	Supervisory decisions should maximize the student's academic growth and well-being.

Ethical supervision integrates all four frameworks, forming a balanced approach that combines *principle, virtue, empathy, and purpose.*

7. Workshop: "The Supervisor's Dilemma"

Scenario

A master's student completes the analysis for a research article co-supervised by two professors. One supervisor demands to be listed as first author, despite limited involvement, while the other insists that the student should lead.

Tasks

1. Identify the ethical principles involved.
2. Analyze the situation using at least two ethical frameworks from the table.
3. Propose a fair and transparent solution according to COPE (2023) and institutional ethics.

Expected Outcome

Students will learn to navigate complex authorship and supervision conflicts using ethical reasoning grounded in fairness, duty, and integrity.

8. Summary

Ethical leadership in supervision transforms mentorship into a moral partnership built on respect, honesty, and mutual growth. Supervisors and students share responsibility for maintaining academic integrity and fostering a culture of care.

As Brown and Treviño (2006) assert, ethical leadership "inspires trust, guides conduct, and shapes professional character" (p. 601).
An ethical supervisor is therefore not just an evaluator, but a moral educator , guiding research that serves truth, humanity, and justice.

9. Glossary of Key Terms

Term	Definition
Ethical Leadership	Leading with moral integrity, transparency, and fairness (Brown & Treviño, 2006).
Mentorship Ethics	Guiding students with honesty, care, and respect for autonomy (Johnson & Nelson, 1999).
Power Imbalance	Unequal authority between supervisor and student that may cause ethical tension (Anderson et al., 2011).
Ethic of Care	Moral approach emphasizing empathy and relationships (Gilligan, 1982).
Deontological Ethics	Duty-based ethical theory focusing on moral obligation (Kant, 1996).

References

- Anderson, M. S., Horn, A. S., Risbey, K. R., Ronning, E. A., De Vries, R., & Martinson, B. C. (2011). What do mentoring and research integrity have to do with each other? *Academic Medicine, 86*(4), 436–441. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e31820ce6de
- Brew, A., & Peseta, T. (2017). *Developing research supervisors' pedagogical content knowledge.* Routledge.
- Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2006). Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly, 17*(6), 595–616. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2006.10.004
- Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). (2023). *COPE guidelines: Authorship and contributorship principles.* https://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines
- Gilligan, C. (1982). *In a different voice: Psychological theory and women's development.* Harvard University Press.
- Johnson, W. B., & Nelson, N. (1999). Mentor–protégé relationships in graduate training: Some ethical concerns. *Ethics & Behavior, 9*(3), 189–210. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327019eb0903_3
- Kant, I. (1996). *The metaphysics of morals* (M. Gregor, Trans.). Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1797)
- Resnik, D. B. (2020). *Ethics of research with human subjects: Protecting people, advancing science, promoting trust.* Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35070-5

- Steneck, N. H. (2006). Fostering integrity in research: Definitions, current knowledge, and future directions. *Science and Engineering Ethics, 12*(1), 53–74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-006-0006-3

University Ziane Achour, Djelfa

Faculty of Letters and Languages

English Department

Master one of Didactics

Ethics and Deontology

Course Instructor: Dr. Ouafa Ouarniki **E-mail:ouafa.ouarniki@univ-djelfa.dz**

Lecture 14: Global and Future Perspectives in Research Ethics :

Sustainability, AI, and the Evolving Moral Landscape

Learning Objectives

By the end of this lecture, students will be able to:

1. **Explore** the global dimensions of research ethics in a rapidly changing world.
2. **Explain** how sustainability and social responsibility intersect with academic integrity.
3. **Evaluate** ethical challenges emerging from digitalization and artificial intelligence.
4. **Reflect** on the role of researchers as global citizens and ethical innovators.
5. **Envision** future directions for ethical research in Algeria and beyond.

1. Introduction

Research ethics is no longer confined to laboratories or universities; it is now a global moral framework shaping how knowledge interacts with society, technology, and the environment.

As UNESCO (2021) affirms, “scientific research must contribute to the well-being of humanity and the protection of our planet.” This shift from individual responsibility to collective global ethics requires researchers to think beyond personal achievement toward sustainable and inclusive progress. Today’s research challenges , climate change, AI automation, data surveillance, and bioengineering , demand a renewed ethical consciousness. Universities, including those in Algeria, play a vital role in cultivating researchers who are both competent and conscientious global citizens.

2. The Globalization of Research Ethics

2.1 Ethical Universality and Cultural Diversity

Ethics in research transcends national boundaries yet must adapt to local contexts. According to Resnik (2020), ethical universality means upholding shared values such as honesty, fairness, and respect, while recognizing that "moral norms may be interpreted differently across cultures" (p. 93).

In global collaboration, researchers must navigate ethical pluralism , respecting diversity without compromising integrity. For example, informed consent and data sharing may require cultural adaptation but cannot ignore human dignity or autonomy.

2.2 International Ethical Frameworks

Major organizations guide research ethics globally:

- **UNESCO (2021)** : Promotes open science, equity, and sustainability.
- **World Health Organization (WHO, 2016)** : Sets ethical standards for biomedical research.
- **OECD (2017)** – Encourages research integrity through transparency and responsible innovation.
- **European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity (ALLEA, 2017):** Defines fairness, reliability, and accountability as universal principles.

These frameworks emphasize that ethical research is not only a moral duty but also a condition for international credibility and cooperation.

3. Ethics and Sustainable Research

3.1 Research for the Common Good

Sustainability integrates ethics into the heart of scientific purpose. As Clark and Dickson (2003) note, sustainability science seeks to "link knowledge to action in pursuit of human well-being and environmental resilience" (p. 8060).

Ethical researchers must therefore ask:

- Does my research benefit society?
- Does it minimize harm to people or the planet?
- Is it inclusive, transparent, and equitable?

In Algeria, the integration of sustainability into research ethics aligns with national efforts to promote responsible innovation and environmental stewardship.

3.2 Ethical Dimensions of the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Research ethics supports the **17 SDGs**, particularly those addressing:

- **Quality education (Goal 4)** : Promoting academic integrity and lifelong learning.
- **Gender equality (Goal 5)** : Ensuring equitable participation in research.
- **Industry, innovation, and infrastructure (Goal 9)** : Advancing ethical technologies.
- **Climate action (Goal 13)** : Encouraging eco-responsible research practices.

Thus, sustainability transforms ethics into a vision of justice for future generations.

4. Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Ethical Research

4.1 The Rise of Algorithmic Ethics

AI has revolutionized data analysis, publishing, and communication. Yet it also introduces profound ethical dilemmas , privacy breaches, algorithmic bias, and the erosion of human accountability.

As Floridi and Cowls (2019) emphasize, ethical AI should be guided by five principles: beneficence, non-maleficence, autonomy, justice, and explicability. These align with classical research ethics but require reinterpretation for digital systems.

Researchers using AI must ensure:

- Transparency in data sources and algorithms.
- Accountability for AI-generated outputs.
- Respect for privacy and consent in automated data use.
- Human oversight over AI decisions.

4.2 AI in Academic Integrity

AI can both support and threaten ethical research. It enhances plagiarism detection, data accuracy, and collaboration but can also generate false information or “deepfake” data.

Ethical AI use means employing technology to augment human judgment, not replace it. As Jobin, Ienca, and Vayena (2019) found, "AI ethics depends not on the intelligence of machines but on the wisdom of those who design and use them" (p. 396).

5. The Researcher as a Global Ethical Citizen

Ethical researchers must view their work through the lens of global justice.
This involves:

- Acting with integrity across borders and disciplines.
- Sharing knowledge openly while respecting privacy.
- Building equitable partnerships with developing regions.
- Engaging with societal issues such as inequality, health, and digital rights.

As Bouter (2020) asserts, "research integrity contributes to social trust , the foundation upon which scientific knowledge serves the public good" (p. 124).

In the Algerian context, this means cultivating researchers who not only produce knowledge but also embody moral responsibility toward their communities and the world.

6. Synthesis Table: Emerging Frontiers in Global Research Ethics

Ethical Domain	Core Value	Contemporary Challenge	Moral Objective
Global Research Collaboration	Respect and reciprocity	Cultural diversity and power imbalance	Promote ethical pluralism and equality.
Sustainability	Responsibility toward humanity and nature	Balancing innovation with ecological impact	Integrate ethics into environmental research.
AI and Digitalization	Transparency and accountability	Algorithmic bias, misinformation	Ensure explicability and fairness.
Open Science	Accessibility and inclusivity	Data privacy and intellectual property	Democratize knowledge responsibly.

Academic Integrity	Honesty and justice	AI-assisted plagiarism, publication pressure	Reinforce moral education and self-regulation.

This synthesis shows that global ethics demands constant reflection, adaptation, and moral courage in the face of technological and social change.

7. Workshop: "Ethics in 2030 "Designing the Future"

Task

Students will work in groups to design a short "Research Ethics Charter for 2030."
They should identify three global challenges (e.g., AI bias, climate data misuse, predatory journals) and propose ethical principles and practical guidelines to address them.

Expected Outcome

Students will synthesize their learning from all lectures, demonstrating moral imagination, creativity, and responsibility in envisioning the future of research ethics.

8. Summary

Global research ethics is entering a transformative era. The principles of honesty, fairness, and respect remain universal, but their application evolves with globalization, technology, and sustainability.

Ethical research today means thinking globally, acting responsibly, and innovating consciously.
As UNESCO (2021) reminds us, open and ethical science must "serve peace, equality, and the preservation of life." For Algerian and international researchers alike, this future calls for an ethical awakening , a recognition that every discovery is not just a scientific act but a moral commitment to humanity and the planet.

9. Glossary of Key Terms

Term	Definition
Global Ethics	Universal moral principles guiding research across nations (Resnik, 2020).
Sustainability	Ethical integration of environmental, social, and economic balance (Clark & Dickson, 2003).
AI Ethics	Moral principles governing artificial intelligence use (Floridi & Cowls, 2019).
Open Science	Movement promoting accessible, transparent, and equitable knowledge (UNESCO, 2021).
Ethical Pluralism	Recognition of diverse moral perspectives across cultures (Resnik, 2020).

References

- ALLEA (All European Academies). (2017). *The European code of conduct for research integrity* (Revised edition). Berlin: ALLEA. https://allea.org/code-of-conduct/
- Bouter, L. M. (2020). What research institutions can do to foster research integrity. *Science and Engineering Ethics, 26*(3), 122–131. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-020-00179-4
- Clark, W. C., & Dickson, N. M. (2003). Sustainability science: The emerging research program. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 100*(14), 8059–8061. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1231333100
- Floridi, L., & Cowls, J. (2019). A unified framework of five principles for AI in society. *Harvard Data Science Review, 1*(1). https://doi.org/10.1162/99608f92.8cd550d1
- Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence, 1*(9), 389–399. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0088-2
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). (2017). *Best practices for ensuring scientific integrity and preventing misconduct.* OECD Publishing.
- Resnik, D. B. (2020). *Ethics of research with human subjects: Protecting people, advancing science, promoting trust.* Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35070-5
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2016). *Standards and operational guidance for ethics review of health-related research with human participants.* Geneva: WHO.

References

A. Foundations of Ethics and Moral Philosophy

- Aristotle. (2009). *Nicomachean ethics* (W. D. Ross, Trans.). Oxford University Press. (Original work published ca. 350 BCE)
- Bentham, J. (1996). *An introduction to the principles of morals and legislation.* Oxford University Press. (Original work published 1789)
- Kant, I. (1996). *The metaphysics of morals* (M. Gregor, Trans.). Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1797)
- Mill, J. S. (1863). *Utilitarianism.* Parker, Son, and Bourn.
- Gilligan, C. (1982). *In a different voice: Psychological theory and women's development.* Harvard University Press.
- MacIntyre, A. (2007). *After virtue: A study in moral theory* (3rd ed.). University of Notre Dame Press.

B. Research Integrity and Academic Ethics

- Anderson, M. S., Horn, A. S., Risbey, K. R., Ronning, E. A., De Vries, R., & Martinson, B. C. (2011). What do mentoring and research integrity have to do with each other? *Academic Medicine, 86*(4), 436–441. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e31820ce6de
- Bouter, L. M. (2020). What research institutions can do to foster research integrity. *Science and Engineering Ethics, 26*(3), 122–131. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-020-00179-4
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NAS). (2017). *Fostering integrity in research.* National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/21896
- Resnik, D. B. (2020). *Ethics of research with human subjects: Protecting people, advancing science, promoting trust.* Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35070-5
- Steneck, N. H. (2006). Fostering integrity in research: Definitions, current knowledge, and future directions. *Science and Engineering Ethics, 12*(1), 53–74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-006-0006-3
- Shamoo, A. E., & Resnik, D. B. (2015). *Responsible conduct of research* (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press.

C. Authorship, Collaboration, and Publication Ethics

- ALLEA (All European Academies). (2017). *The European code of conduct for research integrity* (Revised edition). Berlin: ALLEA. https://allea.org/code-of-conduct/
- Bozeman, B., & Boardman, C. (2014). *Research collaboration and team science: A state-of-the-art review and agenda.* Springer.
- Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). (2023). *COPE guidelines: Authorship and contributorship principles.* https://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines
- ICMJE (International Committee of Medical Journal Editors). (2022). *Recommendations for the conduct, reporting, editing, and publication of scholarly work in medical journals.* http://www.icmje.org/recommendations/

D. Pedagogy, Mentorship, and Professional Development

- Brew, A., & Peseta, T. (2017). *Developing research supervisors' pedagogical content knowledge.* Routledge.
- Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2006). Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly, 17*(6), 595–616. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2006.10.004
- Johnson, W. B., & Nelson, N. (1999). Mentor–protégé relationships in graduate training: Some ethical concerns. *Ethics & Behavior, 9*(3), 189–210. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327019eb0903_3
- Hoskins, K., & Goldberg, A. E. (2005). Doctoral student attrition and retention: What do we know? *Journal of College Student Retention, 7*(1-2), 157–178. https://doi.org/10.2190/7W7T-2FQJ-9F6P-9B74

E. AI, Technology, and Emerging Ethics

- Floridi, L., & Cowls, J. (2019). A unified framework of five principles for AI in society. *Harvard Data Science Review, 1*(1). https://doi.org/10.1162/99608f92.8cd550d1
- Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence, 1*(9), 389–399. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0088-2
- OECD. (2017). *Best practices for ensuring scientific integrity and preventing misconduct.* OECD Publishing.
- UNESCO. (2021). *Recommendation on open science.* Paris: UNESCO.

- World Health Organization (WHO). (2016). *Standards and operational guidance for ethics review of health-related research with human participants.* Geneva: WHO.

F. Sustainability and Global Research Responsibility

- Clark, W. C., & Dickson, N. M. (2003). Sustainability science: The emerging research program. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 100*(14), 8059–8061. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1231333100
- UNESCO. (2015). *Rethinking education: Towards a global common good?* Paris: UNESCO Publishing.
- United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.* https://sdgs.un.org/2030agend.

Key Answers to All Workshops

Lecture 1 : Introduction to Ethics and Deontology

Task: Define ethics and deontology; differentiate between them.

Key Answer:

- **Ethics** = principles governing right conduct; broader moral philosophy.
- **Deontology** (Kantian view) = duty-based ethics where moral action depends on adherence to rules, not outcomes.

Example: Reporting plagiarism (ethical and deontological duty, even if it harms reputation).

Lecture 2 : Foundations of Ethical Theories

Task: Apply the three major ethical theories to plagiarism.

Key Answer:

- **Deontology:** Plagiarism violates duty to truth.
- **Utilitarianism:** It harms the collective trust of academia.
- **Virtue Ethics:** It shows lack of integrity and courage.

Lecture 3 : Academic Freedom and Responsibility

Scenario: Professor criticizes government policy; faces suspension.

Key Answer:

- Academic freedom = right to express scholarly ideas without political interference.
- Limitation = respect for truth and professional responsibility (UNESCO, 1997).
- Balanced response: defend autonomy while maintaining professional integrity.

Lecture 4 : Responsibility and Competence in Research

Scenario: A researcher fabricates results to meet a deadline.

Answer:

- Violates integrity, honesty, and responsibility.

- Ethical action: report misconduct, correct record, accept delay as responsible behavior.

Lecture 5: The Demand for Scientific Truth

Task: Identify signs of "truth manipulation" in research.

Answer:

- Selective citation, data trimming, "p-hacking."
- Ethical correction: transparency, reproducibility, and peer accountability.

Lecture 6 : Equity and Objectivity

Scenario: A supervisor favors one student's data interpretation.

Answer:

- Breach of objectivity.
- Ethical solution: double-check data blind review, maintain neutrality, disclose bias.

Lecture 7 : Rights and Obligations of Researchers

Task: List 3 researcher rights and 3 duties.

Answer:

Rights: Academic freedom, recognition, safe work environment.

Duties: Honesty, social responsibility, compliance with ethical codes.

Lecture 8 : Plagiarism and Academic Misconduct

Task: Explain types of plagiarism.

Answer:

- **Direct plagiarism:** Copy-paste text.
- **Self-plagiarism:** Reusing own work.
- **Mosaic plagiarism:** Mixing sources.
- **Prevention**: citation training, Turnitin, ethical awareness.

Lecture 9 : Data Management and Ethical Transparency

Scenario: Student deletes raw data after publication.

Answer:

- Violates transparency.
- Ethical correction: archive data, ensure reproducibility, follow FAIR principles.

Lecture 10 : Human Participants and Informed Consent

Scenario: Interviewee's identity exposed without consent.

Answer:

- Breach of confidentiality.
- Solution: anonymize data, re-seek consent, retract identifiable info.

Lecture 11 : AI, Technology, and Ethical Boundaries

Task: Discuss risks of ChatGPT use in research.

Answer:

- Risk: content fabrication, lack of source reliability.
- Ethical use: transparency, human validation, citation of AI assistance (APA, 2023 draft guideline).

Lecture 12 : Ethical Collaboration and Interdisciplinary Research

Scenario: Linguists excluded from authorship in AI project.

Answer:

- Violations: respect, equity, authorship justice.
- Ethical correction: reassign authorship per COPE standards; establish MoUs for fairness.

Lecture 13: Ethical Leadership and Supervision

Scenario: Supervisor demands first authorship.

Answer:

- Breach of fairness and autonomy.
- Solution: follow COPE authorship principles; communicate early and transparently.

Lecture 14: Global and Future Perspectives

Task: Design Research Ethics Charter for 2030.

Model Points:

- Principles: Sustainability, Transparency, AI Responsibility.
- Guidelines: human oversight, eco-ethics, inclusive knowledge sharing.
- Vision: research as a moral and planetary duty.